

Process 1: Bringing people in the same space

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at country level	3	3	3	3	2	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		-Regional committees/representatives are not in place -Way forward: Improve on decentralisation process Reasons: some ministries are not involve Way forward: Involve ministries -need to expand MSP by inviting the private sector, CSOs and regional groups & research institutions. - improve in giving feedbacks	At level of representatives UNCT not meeting on nutrition			
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Develop and agree on ToR, regular meetings			
2. Coordinate internally and broaden membership/engage with other actors for broader influence	3	2	3	2	2	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		All the issues highlight are on going Way forward: there is need	Actively engaging high level political leaders, MoHSW		Regular meetings. To engage	

		forMSP to be more pro-active	and Donors		TANGO to contribute more to MSP activities	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Additional members to be contacted to expand the UN network			
3. Engage within / contribute to MSP	4	2	2	2	2	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>			Through the REACH mission supported the MSP to create a common understanding on nutrition goals and agreed on priority actions		Regular meetings by TANGO. More involvement of CSOs in the MSP activities	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Use results of MSP in decision making. Activate the REACH activities as per CIP			
4. Track and report on own contribution to MSP	3	1	2	2	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		It has just started and only one meeting was held. Relevant sectors were to report on some nutrition related activities implemented. Way forward: Regular	UN hosted the MSP forum in collaboration with NaNA		Very little done on this. To improve engage with MSP	

		media up improve accountability & transparency				
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Share experiences across agencies			
5. Sustain Impact of the MSP	3	2	3	2	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		The policy environment and political support is there- is ongoing Way forward: Ensure continuity - To improve on intersectoral networking	Multistakeholder planning is an integral element of UN planning mechanisms.			
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Replicate the methodology to the lowest administrative units			

Process 2: Coherent policy and legal framework

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and programmes	3	2	2	3	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Inadequate functional mechanism Way forward: strengthening monitoring mechanism	Although analysis has been done no review papers exist		Little knowledge on nutrition and little participation in nutrition policy forum. To capacitize CSOs and enhance CSOs participation	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Lobby for adoption of policies and legal frameworks to support the policies			
2. Mainstream nutrition in own policies and strategies	3	3	3	2	2	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Most government blueprints are nutrition sensitive Way forward: Enhance nutrition mainstreaming into policies across the	Nutrition is high on the humanitarian and development agenda of the UN agencies.		Most CSOs activities are nutrition sensitive	

		board.				
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Advocate for the UNDAF review to be better aligned to Nutrition			
3. Coordinate / harmonise member inputs in policy / legal framework development	2	2	2	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		NaNA is playing its part to ensure development of legal from but at ministerial level,there is still much to be desired REC: NaNA to setup cordial and harmonised committees.	No guidelines for mainstreaming nutrition in sectoral polciies		There is little CSOs participation in policy development. To improve CSOs participation in policy development	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Improve on nutrition coordination and regulation between line ministries			
4. Influence policy/legal framework development through advocacy/contribution	1	3	3		1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		-Improve on sector wide approach on nutrition -Ensure	Nutrition policy reviewed to ascertain quality. Nutrition		Little CSOsparticipation in policy	

		sustenance of gains registered. -Ensure policies are raised when necessary.	strategic plan in place and is due for revision		development. To improve CSOs participation in policy development	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Support development of sector policies on nutrition related areas of food security, poverty and education and public health			
5. Disseminate policy and operationalize / Enforce legal framework	2	2	2	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Documentaries are made and published periodically Way forward: Improve dissemination and strengthen the enforcement of the legal framework.	IMAM strategy developed and rolled out Advocating for nutrition ongoing through workshops		CSOs have little knowledge about nutrition policies. To improve CSOs awareness creation on nutrition policies through inclusive participation	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Explanation documents to be developed to support the			

			IMAM strategy			
6. Track and report results for steering and learning / Sustain policy impact	3	2	2	2		
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Government is actively taking part in the policy framework on nutrition -The Gambia through NaNA is a signatory to nutrition protocols & contracts. - strengthen tracking and reporting systems -Learning & sharing best practices -Government to continue actively participating in international forums	No policy studies, impact evaluations effected. Absence of real time information on affected communities			
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Strengthen nutrition surveillance to assist in tracking progress and cement cross sector alignment			

Process 3: Common Results Framework for National Nutrition Plan (aligned programming)

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Align own programmes to national nutrition-relevant policies	2	3	3	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Most sectors mainstream nutrition issues in their sectoral policies Way forward: All sectors should include nutrition in their policies in consultation with NaNA	Existing UN programmes in line with national nutrition policy		Most CSOs programmes are align to nutrition policy	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Annual review of programmes to be promoted and encouraged			
2. Translate policy / legal framework in Common Results Framework (CRF) for SUN	1	1	2	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Something has started Wayforward: Develop & implement a comprehensive	UN supporting nutrition specific programmes No adequate information on sector strategies on nutrition			

		CRF for SUN				
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Conduct a nutrition analysis, stakeholder and activity mapping and review the nutrition strategic plan			
3. Organise implementation of CRF	1	1		1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		The CRF is in the making Wayforward: Develop CRF & build capacity for its implementation	Conduct a nutrition analysis		CSOs inputs not captured. To capture CSOs contribution	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Conduct a nutrition analysis			
4. Manage implementation of CRF	1	1	1	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>			No CRF in place		No Common Results framework. To have a CRF	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>						
5. Track and report implementation results for steering and learning/evaluate to sustain impact	1	1	1	1		
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>			Sharing of lessons learnt done but not in a harmonised manner			

<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Strive to improve on performance of current programme and document lessons learnt for wider sharing			
---	--	--	---	--	--	--

Process 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Assess financial feasibility	1	1	1	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Weak coordination of nutrition specific financial management -there is no mapping done on other sectors on nutrition financing	Costed plans exist for each UN agency		TANGO is the platform for bringing the civil society together in the same space. Regular meetings by TANGO	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Review current spending in light of expected resources			
2. Track and (transparently) accounting of spending	1	1	2	1	3	

<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No institutional mapping & tracking for reporting - Wayforward: - Government to fast track the development of CRF with timelines 	No regular financial reports shared for nutrition specific activities		Most CSOs are audited	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Capture and share regular financial reports by activity			
3. Scale up and align resources (incl. filling the gaps)	1	1	2	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>			Scale up in line with available resources. Programmes exist for the three most vulnerable regions		Gaps not identify because of lack of Common Resource Framework. To develop a CRF	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Map out resources available and determine the gaps/shortfalls			
4. Honour commitments (turn pledges into disbursements)	3	2		2	3	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An ongoing - REC - Maintain and improve on coordination 			Most CSOs commitments are honoured. To develop	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>						

5. Ensure predictability / sustain impact / multi-year funding	2	2	2	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>		Existing policies functioning programmes REC: exploring new partnership and nurturing	Costed programmes exist for minmum of two years		CSOs programmes are mainly projects with life time. To develop a common resource framework plan to ensure sustainanbility of project	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>			Work towards multi-year fianancial resolutions			