

#### Process 1: Bringing people in the same space

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor	Score for CSO network	Score for Business
	(Morning Session)			network		network
1. Select/develop coordinating	1	1	2 (Ongoing)	2	3	1
mechanisms at country level						
Explanations: What activities/interventions		Presence of	• The	Started in July	National	One meeting
underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written	MSP in structure in the Kenya	Nutrition	Netwrok has	2013; held 2	coordination	held was with
during workshop)	Food and nutrition security	interagency	held atleast	meetings	done and	businesses from
	policy not operational	coordinating	6 meetings		ongoing	various sectors
		committee	over the			in January2013
		which	reporting		County	
		coordinates	period.		coordination to	
		nutrition specific			start	
		actors.				
					Execuve	
					committee is in	
					place	
					Regular EC and	
					CSA meetings	
					held	
					Recruited CSA	
					Coordinator	
Suggestions made for improvements?	Engage with OP to get high	Need to		Develop the	Diversify	Looking into
	level SUN Focal Point	establish a SUN		ToRs	coordination to	involving more
		taskforce that			county level	businesses.
	Set up technical level working	will ensure all		Expand the		

2. Coordinate internally and broaden membership/engage with other actors for broader influence	group between networks.  Define status of implementation of FSNS  Develop MSP governance structures, ToR  2	stakeholders are engaged in SUN activities.	1 (Started)	participation of other donors who are supporting health related activities	Involve MSP in coordination	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Networks in place</li> <li>Networks held consultative meetings</li> <li>GoK coordination still weak</li> <li>Not all GoK relevant sectors involved.</li> <li>Devolution delayed process</li> </ul>	Goverment network is established .Some line ministries are involved but not all.Process of engaging high level political leadership has began.	<ul> <li>Four UN agencies (WHO, WFP, FAO and UNICEF) are members of the UN Network.</li> <li>Activiely engaged in moving the agenda of obtaining a high level SUN Patron</li> <li>Actively supported the efforts to have nutrition well articulated in the</li> </ul>		Diverse membership is SUN CSA.  County mapping ongoing  Feedback at national level exists  Feedback mechanism not formalised.	We have identified 3 main business associations that will be involved in broadening the membership

Suggestions made for improvements?	Advocacy to reach out to GoK Steering Committee/network should be revamped (MoH) Engage Scientific Community e.g. KIPPRA, KARI, TEGEMEO	There is need for strengthened advocacy across sectors and within line ministries including high level political leaderships.	Health Policy and also reached out to the various Networks to support the same	Need to broaden the engangement of donors to take active part on the network	Expand membership to counties, involve diverse stakeholders	Draft a TOR for the Business Network members.
3. Engage within / contribute to MSP	1	1	2 (Ongoing)	1	1	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	SUN networks informal consultations	The GOK is the lead organisation in SUN and has started engaging with members of MSP .	The UN agencies actively participate and support the various Coordinatio n mechnaisms in the nutrition sector including the Technical	The donor network participates to raise awareness of the importance to create a MSP	Process just started	In the meeting we had a comprehensive interaction how the private sector can improve the nutrition status of the country.

Draft ToR for MSP  Hold MSP meeting before teleconference.  Schedule of meetings - Annual Planner for all networks and MSP		UNDAF 2014 - 2018	O (Not	Participate and contribute to MSP activities	Plan on how to influence more businesses to join the network and play a role in improving Nutrition in the country.
4. Track and report on own contribution to MSP	2	1 (Started)	0 (Not started)	2	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written meeting, teleconferences		<ul> <li>Within the NNAP</li> </ul>		Teleconference reports,	We have identified



dunte a consultata a a V		a a sa a stalle stock!	£ !		and the second second	
during workshop)		especially in the	framework		country sharing	various sectors
	Gaps in parliament and	nutrition specific	monitoring		with Zambia,	to be involved in
	regular publications	are discussed in	of results is		Nutrition	MSP.
		the Nutrition	undertaken		advocacy	
		interagency	<ul> <li>With the</li> </ul>		training,	
		coordinating	UNDAF in		contribution to	
		committee	place, and		SUN bulletin	
		There has been	the ongoing			
		reports from all	efforts to		Advocacy on	
		sectors during	define		Draft Kenya	
		the SUN	various UN		Health Policy	
		teleconference	agencies			
		and joint sector	contribution			
		activities .	to the			
			Nutrition			
			outcome,			
			better			
			tracking and			
			reporting on			
			contribution			
			s will be			
			made			
Suggestions made for improvements?	Use Nutrition website as	Engago all CLIN	Finalization of	As the donor	Undertake full	
Suggestions made for improvements?		Engage all SUN				
	sharing platform	networks and	the Food and	Netwrok, will	implementation	
		nutrition	Nutrition	support	of SUN CSA	
	Include Business, Donor,	sensitive actors	Strategy will		Work Plan and	
	Scientific Community	in MSPs and	provide more		report share	
	networks in SUN	encourage	clarity on		lessons	
	teleconference	periodic	accountability			
		reporting of	levels			
	Share notes from	progress.				
	teleconference meetings					

5. Sustain Impact of the MSP	Create mailing group for MSP including all networks  Link nutrition web and SUN web	1	1 (started)	0 (Not	1	1
				started)		
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	FSNP in place  Inadquate integration of nutrition in County Integrated Development Plans (CIDPs)  Limited engagement of nutrition actors in development of CIDPs and other plans	Nutrition has been included in the Kenya vision 2030 and Medium term expenditure plan.	In     developing     the UNDAF,     efforts     made to     ensure     linkages of     nutrition     across     various     outcomes.		Process just started	The business network has identified the CEO's of various businesses who will influence decisions that will ensure impact.
T	Increase enagement of advocacy of nutrition in CIDP development. Engage counties during review process.	More advocacy to institutionalise SUN in all relevant ministries will ensure sustainability. Strengthen SUN advocacy at county level to increase support for nutrition.			Work with other networks to operationalise MSP activities	Involve the private sector more in national development planning mechanisms.



#### Process 2: Coherent policy and legal framework

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and programmes	1	1	2 (on-going)	1	1	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Polices and guidelines exist but there lacks a comprehensive documentation of all policies and their  Systematic analysis .	Members of the GOK network are aware of policies that exist in their respective sectors but a comprehensive analysis has not been undertaken and documented.	Support provided for NNAP (National Nutrition Action Plan) dissemination and CNAP development		Reviewed the Draft Kenya Health Policy and wrote position paper  CSA members well- represented in working groups	The business Network was made aware of the policies that target the private sector. E.g the BMS act and the Mandatory Foof Fortification law.
Suggestions made for improvements?	Map exisiting policies and programmes both at national and county levels  Define the	Members of GOK network should review the policies collectively to analyse strengths,gaps ,review areas and opportunies for alignment of	Review and finalization of the FNSS in the context of devolution.	A donor mapping needs to be carried out which will contribute to the analysis of policies and programmes	Participate in mapping of policies	

Mainstream nutrition in own policies and strategies	institutional structure to undertake the systematic analysis by a technical group of the MSP	programmes.	2 (on-going)	SUN Secretatriat to aist with a generic mapping template.	2	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	The Constitution of Kenya, Vision 2030 MTP11(Medium Term Plan) has stunting as an outcome FSNP mainstreaming nutrition Health Policy in draft and advocacy ongoing to mainstream nutrition. The KHSSP(3)articulates nutrition Education Policy mainstreams	Nutrition has been included in various policies including health, agriculture and education.	Specicif UN agencies     Country     programme documents     have     nutrition     mainstreame     d     The UNDAF     has nutrition     selected     areas or     outcomes on     nutrition		CSA members sensitized on NNAP  CSA members in nutrition sensitive sectors spearheading impemetation of NNAP	The policies have already been put in place and the Private sector is aware of them.



Suggestions made for improvements?	The Employment Policy- Maternity protection is mainstreaming Where nutrition is mainstreamed, focus on implementation Analyse the level of mainstreaming to the level of budget lines for nutrition Have a common results framework that captures all sectors/ programmes Develop national ministerial guidelines for mainstreaming nutrition in sector policies	Remaining relevant GOK stakeholders to be encourage to mainstream nutrition in their own policies and legal framework.			Track how CSA members are mainstream nutrition in sensitive programmes	In the process of looking at how the private sector can be made to consider these policies when formulating their products.
3. Coordinate / harmonise member inputs in	2	2	1 (Started)	0	2	2
policy / legal framework development  Explanations: What activities/interventions underly	Agric Nutrition	The food and	• Contributions		CSA	The Private
this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during	Agric- Nutrition	nutrition security	Contributions     have been		Participates in	sector
workshop)	ToolKit and FSNP	policicy has	made in		relevant	associations
	development was a	included inputs	developing		processes like	have been giving



Suggestions made for improvements?	Consultative process  Have agreed upon indicators across sectors under a CRF	from line ministries.National ministerial guidelines for mainstreaming nutrition in sector policies not in place.  Establishement of a high level coordinating body to support nutrition mainstreaming.	vrious policy/legal frameworks for nutrition within mainly MoH and MoA  Need to expand engagement on nutrition with other relevant line ministries.		the Draft Kenya Health Policy review, FSNP, BMS Act.  Extensive CSOs contribution to the leveraging agriculture for nutrition study  Coordinate CSA contribution in policy processes	feedback on how the business sector feels about specific policies that have been put in place.For example when the Mandatory Food Fortification law was passed the Cereal Millers Association shared isues that the Millers in the country had.  The network should involve more members to contribute and harmonise inputs for policies and legal
Influence policy/legal framework development through	1	2	3 (on-going)	0 (N/A)	2	framework.
advocacy/contribution  Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Policies are developed, however the	NNAP,BMS Act,FNSP, Food fortification	Advocacy at individual agencies		Engagement with Kenya delegates to	There is existence of policies that



linkages are weak	programme	level and	WHA	address the
, and the second	working with	jointly too		Private sector
	ministry of trade	undertaken	Lobbying for	e.g Maternity
		in developing	nutrition Goals	leave must be
		key policies	in the Post-	given for 3
		e.g. the BMS	2015 agenda	months by law
		Act;	and	to provide for
		Mandatory	Sustanainable	exclusive
		Food	Development	breastfeeding
		fortification	Goals through	
		Act;	the Open	
		Key policy	Working Group	
		documents		
		have	Lobbying EU on	
		coherence	the Action Plan	
		with	on Maternal	
		development	Child and	
		related	Nutrition	
		policies e.g.		
		The FNSP has		
		relevant		
		ministries		
		signed up;		
		Mandatory		
		food		
		fortification		
		Bill has Trade		
		Ministry		
		while BMS		
		Act has the		
		various		
		regulations		
		defined		



Suggestions made for improvements?	Review the NNAP and broaden it to include nutrition sensitive areas CIDPs do not capture nutrition adequately High level patron at national and county levels	Strengthen advocacy for political support.			Parliamentary engagement	Create more policies that promote nutrition e.g breastfeeding friendly offices
5. Disseminate policy and operationalize / Enforce legal framework	2	2	2 (on-going)	0 (N/A)	2	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	The NNAP has been disseminated at County Level and counties developing their county specific plans The BMS Act and other MIYCN guidelines have been disseminated Food Fortification Legistlation has been disseminated	All policies are dessiminated .Some audiences are not reached .The means by which policies are dessiminated differ.	Support to NNAP disseminatio n at national and County's supported		CSOs involved in dissemination of NNAP, BMS Act, MIYCN guidelines Roll out of Agrinutrition ToolKit	There has been press releases on the Mandatory Food Fortification law in the Country newspapers and a lot of awareness creation.
Suggestions made for improvements?	Include actors beyond the health	The mode/means of dissemination	FSNP dissminmation at		Support dissemination	



	ministry during dissemination  Dissemination of the FNSP to be done at county level	should be reviewed to include ways known to have impact on implementation of policy.Target number to be reached should be considered to ensure all are reached.	anational and County levels	of FSNP at county level	
6. Track and report results for steering and learning / Sustain policy impact	2	1	1 (Started)	2	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Leveraging Agriculture for Nutrition Study Food Fortifcation Study Emergency funding in 2011 evaluation is used at global level Engagement in WHA, UNGA 2013 Contribution to Global Processes	There has been studies on policy impact assessments that have been done in the various ministries in the network but this has not been discussed in the network meetings. There is currently no common database or common discussion in this area	Discussions or reviews of existing Policies and NNAP has been undertake though not in a systematic manner.	Contribution to international fora - WHA, EU Action Plan on Maternal and Child Nutrition Participation in SUN M&E workshop.  Participation and reporting in the teleconference Contribution to the SUN bulletin	There has been tracking and reporting around the Mandatory Food Fortification law.
Suggestions made for improvements?	Have a system plan	Start the dicusions		Evaluate impact	Look into how



for policy reviewwhat benchmarks do we have to alert us on when to do review/ analysis Include research institutions e.g.	on policy impact assessments in the network meetings.		of existing policies, draft policies and CIDPs, on nutrition programmes	other policies can be tracked.
institutions e.g. KIPRA, Tegemeo Institute,				



#### **Process 3:** Common Results Framework for National Nutrition Plan (aligned programming)

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
<ol> <li>Align own programmes to national nutrition-relevant policies</li> </ol>	2	2	2 (on-going)	1	2	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Nutrition Specific programmes aligning to NNAP& FSNP Agriculture programmes aligning to FSNP	Nutrition specific programmes aligned to NNAP and FNSP.	The Country Programme documents of most of the UN network agencies are aligned to the FSNP and NNAP   The Country Programme documents of most of the UN network agencies are aligned to		CSA members from nutrition sensitive sectors, started aligning to NNAP, nutrition specific CSOs already aligned	The private sector has been made aware of how they can contribute to the national nutrition.
Suggestions made for improvements?	Not clear about aligning in all the other relevant sectors Mapping exercise to gather evidence on aliging of sector programmes to relevant policies	Get a database of all nutrition programmes across sectors and encourage programmes to be aligned to Nutrition related policies. Develop /Reveiew nutrition related policies where		A donor mapping needs to be carried out which will contribute to the analysis of policies and programmes	Harmonise nutrition sensitive activities	Influence the private sector more so that they can internalise the role that they have to play to improve the national nutrition status.



		needed.		Secretatriat to aist with a generic mapping template.		
2. Translate policy / legal framework in	2	2	2 (on-going)	0	2	2
Common Results Framework (CRF) for SUN						
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Nutrition an agenda but not a priority The NNAP and M&E Framework exist but largely nutrition specific NNAP is costed	FSNP available, activities and programmes for SUN ongoing .	<ul> <li>Contributed in the developmen t of an M&amp;E framenwork (in draft version) for nutrition specific intervention s mainly.</li> <li>Supported the developmen t of NNAP and costing of the same.</li> <li>NNAP contains an agreed upon set of key indicators</li> <li>Supporting the developmen</li> </ul>		CSA contribution to policy process through NTF and other working groups	There is existence of business that are producing products that aim to address various malnutritional issues.



Suggestions made for improvements?	CRF should also include sensitive programmes Identify gaps in nutrition sensitive to inform the CRF		t of a costing tool		Consolidate contributions within MSP	There is need to have an intergrated system that will promote CRF.
2. Organise implementation of CRF  Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	The WWW map for ASAL updated regularly Capacity building interventions in place (OJT for HiNi, SMART Surveys) Agri- Nutrition Toolkit	The WWW map for ASAL updated regularly Capacity building interventions in place (OJT for HiNi, SMART Surveys) Agri- Nutrition Toolkit	Support the mapping of Nutrition partners     Support to OJT and other nutrition related trainings	0	Mobilised some resources, allocated tasks, capacity building ongoing	This is already in place through fortification in specific sectors e.g Salt, Maize meal, Wheat flour, Cooking oil/fats and sugar
Suggestions made for improvements?	Actions to include nutrition sensitive sectors under the MSP	Actions to include nutrition sensitive sectors under				Need to expand the implementation of CRF to the entire Business Network.



		the MSP				
4. Manage implementation of CRF	1	2	1 (Started)	0 (N/A)	1	2
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	In reference to NNAP, it is ongoing but in reference to the ideal CRF there is a gap	Any resources allocated for any programme are aligned to an M&E framework although there is no common M&E framework specific to CRF.	• The monitroting of implementa tions is undertaken though this tends to be very much donor driven, as this largely defines the kinof reports generated		Activities in pipeline	Monitoring tools are in place for specific sectors
Suggestions made for improvements?	Develop the CRF in line with SUN	Develop a common Results framework including M&E,costing components				Expand to other sectors
5. Track and report implementation results for steering and learning/evaluate to sustain impact	2	2	1 (Started)	0 (N/A)	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Nutrition specific is doing well Teleconferences,	Reports for nutrition specific programmes are	Evaluations and lessons learnt are		Teleconferences Zambia	This has been discussed but there is need to

	SUN Bulletin, SUN M&E Tracking and Costing Workshops Scalability Research shared globally	given in many forums including SUN teleconference, International conferences and meetings. Agriculture sector shared the progress in the June SUN teleconference report.	undertaken though these tend to be at individual agencies level	workshop	have an intergrated system for the Private sector so that its clear to them how they can be involved in nutrition programs.
Suggestions made for improvements?	Inlcude the nutrition sensitive sectors in tracking results	Develop a tracking and reporting tool for the network. Involve all relevant stakeholders.			

#### **Process 4:** Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL	Score for	Score for	Score for	Score for CSO	Score for
	PLATFORM SCORE	Government	<b>UN network</b>	Donor	network	Business
	(Morning Session)			network		network



1. Assess financial feasibility	2	2	1 (Started)	0 (Not started)	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	UNICEF budget analysis for social sector – 6 counties.  Nutrition programme funding at county level report (NTF)  Nutrition specific allocations/expenditures for NUT specific/ sensitive programmes at national and county level not clear.  Expenditure reports available for last 5 years  Ongoing budget analysis by CSOs (HKI)	Individual ministries have costed plans for nutrition programmes. CRF spending /allocation is not clear.	Mapping of current spending/co sting done for the NNAP, though this is for nutrition specific intervention s	starteu)	County level support ongoing	Reports are available for specific Sectors.
Suggestions made for improvements?	Undertake comprehensive analysis for different sectors – nutrition sensitive	Carry out an analysis of financial feasibility for member sectors and keep a database of results.Do continous M&E		This will be part of the mapping exercise		Work on having the private sector contribute more to nutrition in the country



2. Track and (transparently) accounting of spending	2	2	1 (Started)	0 (Not started)	0	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	GoK audited accounts done annually  Nutrition sector quarterly reports  WB programme – there are comprehensive reports	GoK audited accounts done annually  Nutrition sector quarterly reports	Partly done at individual agencies spending level i.e. reports sent to governemen t		Not started	Available for the maize/wheat flour millers sector and edible oils
Suggestions made for improvements?	Expand to include all NUT sector partners; should all report to MoH.  SUN Movement networks should be involved in this process	Involve all relevant sectors and discuss tracking /accounting spending.		Part of the mapping exercise	Develop financial tracking tools, mechanism and report progress	Discuss with the business network how they can report better on nutrition specific spending
3. Scale up and align resources (incl. filling the gaps)	2	2	2	1	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	GoK allocation to nutrition sensitive programmes has increased  Increased emergency budgets	GoK allocation to nutrition sensitive programmes has increased GOK continues to mobilise funds for SUN		Funding availaed for nutrition  Got earmarked funds for long term nrition	CSA members fundraising with different donors	Looking into involving the Private sector in scaling up resources by them adding nutrition in there



	Resource mobilisation by SUN CSA  HiNi aligned resources  Mapping in NUT sector, contingency response plans			programmes		Coorperate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities.
Suggestions made for improvements?		The GOK need to continue mobilizing for resources for SUN.			Develop long- term strategies to mobilise resources.  Engage more with CSA members to increase resources.	
4. Honour commitments (turn pledges into disbursements)	1	1	3	4	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	GOK budgets is 7% Abuja Declaration 15% whil  MoA CAADP is 10%, GOK budget about 4.5%	Pledges by funding partners are honoured.		Commitments made are fully honoured	Supporting county CSOs to ensure governments enhance nutrition plans and budgets.	This is not yet applicable to the Business Network



		T	T	T	T	T
					Kenya Health	
					Policy to elevate	
					nutrition status,	
					thereby	
					influencing	
					budget	
					allocation	
Suggestions made for improvements?	Track for nutrition	Where pledges		The funding	Engage more	Have a system
	support within different	are not		amounts are	with GoK,	that monitors
	sectors such as Health,	honoured,GOK		quite low and	donors and	the Private
	Agriculture and	network should		would need	other partners	sector
	Educatiion	put more effort		to increase.	to create	contribution to
		to ensure these			awareness on	the national
		are honoured.			commitments	nutrition.
					and	
					accountability in	
					funds received.	
5. Ensure predictability / sustain impact /	2	2	2	1	1	0
multi-year funding						
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly	Counties have mandate	National		Ensure	Individual CSA	This is not yet
this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during	to raise own funds	Nutrition action		predictability	members	applicable to the
workshop)	to raise own rainas	plan is costed.		for nutrition	implementing	Business
	Align plans to MTP e.g.	There are		funding	year plans	Network
	Vitamin A & Maternal	continued		Tarraing	year plans	TTC TTC TTC
	Multiyear Plan,	efforts to			CSA	
	,,	mobilize internal			implementing	
	Align plans to CIDPs	and external			two-year plan	
	O. P. S	funds to bridge			funded by MPTF	
	Costed NNAP	the gap and			13	
		sustain impact.			CSA members	
				1		1
	GoK has mobilised	<u> </u>			supported	
	GoK has mobilised external resources to	Counties have also developed			supported NNAP, health	



	specific and sensitive programmes, in 5 learning counties	action plans.		and county budgets	
Suggestions made for improvements?	Do integration	All relevant GOK		Develop clear	Plan on how the
		stakeholders		financial plans	Business
		should be			Network
		included in			members will
		financial/costing			make there
		plans for SUN.			contributions.