Report on Self-Assessment Workshop for the Progress of Scaling Up Nutrition Movement in Myanmar

7th May 2014

Myanmar joined the Global Movement of Scaling Up Nutrition – SUN Movement – in May 2013. In his address on the signing ceremony for membership of global SUN movement, HE Prof. Dr. Pe Thet Khin, Union Minister of Health stated ... "An individual's nutrition status, whether malnourished or well-nourished, is not only limited to his or her health status. It also impacts the country's economy and education. In its efforts to achieve MDGs such as poverty alleviation, reducing maternal and child mortality, the Government of Myanmar has increased budget in the health sector, along with basic infrastructure for nutrition. Further, there is a strong need to join efforts between local and international agencies and [for] more investments in improving and scaling up nutrition activities"

Dr. Min Than Nyunt, Director General of the Department of Health, was assigned as Government Focal Point for SUN.

Since in March 2013, Myanmar has finalized updating of its multi-sector "National Plan of Action for Food and Nutrition" (NPAFN) which identified both nutrition-specific and sensitive activities by multiple sectors.

National Workshop on SUN in February 2014, received strong commitments from UN agencies, Development Partners (Donors – DFID being the donor Convene), relevant Government sectoral ministries and Civil Society Associations. The workshop could also formulate a work plan for SUN to implement NPAFN in prioritized manner and by following four processes of strategic approach recommended by SUN global lead group;

- 1. Bringing committed groups together around a shared vision
- 2. Establishing coherent policy and legal frameworks for collective action
- 3. Aligning different actors' programmes behind a shared set of expected results
- 4. Tracking expenditure within these programmes and mobilizing extra resources to accelerate their implementation

Tool to measure the progress and efficiency of the SUN movement at country and global levels, "The SUN M&E Framework" has been role out since 2013. Government of Myanmar hosted a workshop that brought together all stakeholders of SUN-Myanmar to self-assess the progress of each stakeholder group and collective progress in implementing SUN in Myanmar.

The one-day workshop was held in Shwe Pyi Taw Hotel at Nay Pyi Taw. (See Annex I for detail participant list)

Objectives of the workshop

- To review progress (2013-2014) of the country against the four SUN processes
- To feed into the 2014 State of the SUN Movement Progress Global Report

(See Annex II for agenda)

Opening

The opening ceremony was started at 9:00 am on 7th May 2014. On behalf of Country SUN Focal (Director General of the Department of Health), Dr. Win Htay Aung (Deputy Director General) gave an opening remark. He emphasized on the benefits of becoming SUN Movement member country and the importance of monitoring and evaluation of the activities of every sectors in SUN. Dy. DG also explained the four processes of SUN

Business Session I – Group Work I- Scoring progress of the Multi-Stakeholder Platform as a whole

Morning Session was started with the presentation of Dr. May Khin Than (Deputy Director, Nutrition) on purpose, expected outcome and agenda of the workshop. Measuring SUN progress with Monitoring and Evaluation Framework, was also explained.

Dr. Htin Lin (Assistant Director, Nutrition) briefed on group work guidelines, scoring system and grouping for morning and afternoon group work sessions. The participants asked on the points which needed clarification. Dr. Aye Thwin and Dr. Htin Lin clarified. The participants were separated into two groups; Group-one discussed on process 1 & 2, and Group-two discussed on process 3 & 4. (See Annex III List of Group participants).

Groups presented group work outputs. Dr. Sabei Htet Htet Htoo (AD, FDA) for Group-one and Dr. Thet Aung (World Vision) for Group-two, presented.

Discussion and suggestions on Morning Group Work

Scoring

- To cover all four processes, at least one representative from each engaging network should get involved in each group discussion
 - o There were limited number of representation from some sectors such as one from Donors network and one from Business Network for all two groups
- The scoring was done in detail according to self-review of each sector (Government ministries/ organizations)
 - o It was learnt that scoring would be more indicative if each network has already identified 'who has done what' in its network, before group work on scoring
 - Some ground information are needed before every assessment for better scoring, and accountability of the output.
- Justifications/reasons are required for each score.
- For discussions on financing the projects, Foreign Economic Relation Department-FERD of the Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development, should get involved.
- Better results could be achieved, if the reporting formats are distributed to each network and let networks exercise internal self-assessment prior to the validation workshop
 - Actions done in different sectors in each network should be considered in scoring exercise
 - Experience from other SUN countries should also be taken into account in considering scores

- In 2013 Global SUN Progress Report, scoring system was used only for over all countries' progress but not for each assessment like this
- Each network should have their own formation and strength within their network and sharing among network members
- Time was quite limited with short notice this time. The result must be reported back on 16th May 2014. All the suggestions would be considered in next time.

Support need

- Additional support group or team was needed for coordination work of MSP
- UNICEF could support two technical persons for nutrition. One will take the responsibility for SUN to support SUN focal and another one for nutrition surveillance section. Now TOR for them was now drafting. The government agreed to provide an office space for SUN support group.
- WFP will also support one food-security officer; specific TOR required to be identified.
- It was recalled that, in 2013, at the first time of drafting the TOR for SUN support team, idea and detail activity of multi-stakeholder platform were not well established yet. This time the actual functioning of the MSP and SUN support team should be considered to further SUN process and better engagement of the five sectors (Government, UN, Donors, CSA and Business). The tasks of MSP and calendar of MSP should be considered first.

Business Session II - Group Work II- Scoring progress of the each engaging network

The participants were grouped into 5 groups according to 5 sectors; Government, UN, Donor, CSA and Business. Then each group discussed on 4 processes.

One representative from each group presented on their output; scoring and explanation.

Government Network: Daw Aye Aye Tin (Ministry of Education)

UN Network: Daw Khin Khin Wint Aung (WFP)

CAS Network: Ms. Andrea Menefee (Hellen Keller International)

Donor Network: Daw Mya Thet Su Maw

(See Annex IV for Group participants)

Discussion on Afternoon Group Work

- Discussion on presentations of CSA network for being '0' for all 4 processes. The representative from CSA group explained as;
 - Functioning MSP still need to be formed; network activities depends on MSP
 - Myanmar CSA still need to link with global SUN CSA for guidance/ technical resources
 - Sharing between sectors still need to perform (Stock taking, Assessments, Priorities, etc....) which is linked to functioning of MSP
- The scoring results should be put into the report format and circulate through e-mail within the 5 networks and engaging groups/networks (including who cannot

participate in this workshop) to give feedback or suggestions after one week (14th May 2014). Then collected them back and submitted to SUN Focal.

- Self-assessment of Civil Society Network was participated by ACF, HKI, Plan International, SCI and World Vision
- Other engaging CSO groups such as Food Security Working Group, Myanmar Nutrition Technical Network and local CBOs could not attend the workshop due to short notice
- Definition of score '0' should be 'not started yet or getting started' rather than 'not applicable'.
- To ask clarification to Global SUN Group about scoring point such as '1.5 or 2.3'.
- Full functioning Civil Society Alliance as well as business network were still need to establish
- The donor network to provide agreed scoring not later than 14th May 2014;
 - Participant from donor network was only one from DFID (Donor Convener)

Recommendations

- The report with final scoring result should be circulated as soon as possible and seek the comments and suggestions before submitting to Country SUN Focal and Global SUN Secretariat Group
- After making clarification of the scoring method and definition of some scores with Global SUN Secretariat Group, the results will be shared to all networks and partners (Note: done on 10th May 2014)

The workshop was successfully concluded at 17:00 PM.

The report was finalized with all comments and suggestions returned from workshop participants and non-participants of the 5 networks and engaging groups/networks. Then it was submitted to Country SUN Focal, DG, Department of Health. According to the instruction of SUN focal, the report was fine-tuned and submitted to Global SUN Secretariat Group on 16th May 2014.

(See Annex V for the final scoring result report)

Participant List (7.5.2014)

No.	Name	Designation & Department				
1.	Dr. Win Htay Aung	Deputy Director General (Admin), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
2.	Dr. May Khin Than	Deputy Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
3.	U Tin Myint	Deputy Director, Planning Department, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development				
4.	Dr. Ohn Mar Kyi	Deputy Director (HMIS), Department of Health Planning, Ministry of Health				
5.	Dr. Thant Sin Htoo	Deputy Director, Department of Health Planning, Ministry of Health				
6.	U Tun Oo	Deputy Director, Department of Social Welfare, Ministry of Social Welfare, Relief and Resettlement				
7.	Dr. Htin Lin	Assistant Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
8.	Dr. Khaing Mar Zaw	Assistant Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
9.	Dr. Sabei Htet Htet Htoo	Assistant Director, Department of Food and Drug Administration, Ministry of Health				
10.	Daw Htay Htay Hlaing	Assistant Director (Nursing), Department of Medical Science, Ministry of Health.				
11.	Daw Daisy Tun	Staff Officer, Planning Department, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development				
12.	Daw Aye Aye Tint	Planning Officer, Department of Educational Planning and Training, Ministry of Education				
13.	Daw Thida Htwe	Staff Officer, Central Statistical Organization, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development				
14.	Dr. Kyaw Win Sein	Nutrition Specialist, UNICEF				
15.	Dr. Aye Thwin	Nutrition Consultant				
16.	Daw Khin Khin Wint Aung	World Food Program				
17.	Daw May Thukha Soe	Plan International				
18.	Ms. Celine Lesavre	ACF				
19.	Dr. Thet Aung	World Vision				
20.	Ms. Diane Lindsey	Helen Keller International				
21.	Ms. Andrea Menefee	Save the Children				
22.	Daw San San Myint	Save the Children				
23.	Ms. Christiane Rudert	UNICEF (Regional Office)				
24.	Dr. Mya Thet Su Maw	DFID				
25.	U Paing Zaw Zaw	Myanmar School Milk Program				
26.	Dr. Wai Wai Lwin	Technical Coordinator, Pact Myanmar				
27.	Dr. Nyein Aye Tun	Medical Officer (BHS), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
28.	Dr. Aye Mya Mya Kyaw	Medical Officer (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
29.	Dr. Thet Wah	Medical Officer (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
30.	Daw Aye Le Le Mon	Biochemist (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				

Workshop on Self-assessment of Progress in SUN Movement in Myanmar Agenda

Time	Title	Speaker
09:00-09:10	Opening Remark	Deputy Director General (DoH)
09:10-09:15	Participants introductions	
09:15-09:25	Explain programme	5
09:25-09:45	Brief refresher on measuring progress in SUN (M&E framework)	Deputy Director (NNC)
09:45-10:00	Tea Break	
10:00-10:20	Group Work Instructions	Assistant Director (NNC)
10:20-12:00	 Group work (mixed groups) Scoring progress of the platform AS A WHOLE Form 2 mixed groups, with each as representative of the different stakeholders as much as possible (Group 1 and 2) Each group will score TWO processes: Group 1 scores process 1&2 (REF.3a &3b), Group 2 scores process 3&4 (REF 3c &3d). Appoint facilitators for each group and distribute group instructions (REFERENCE DOC 2)to the facilitators and members Write scores on poster and corresponding comments on post-its, one per process (REFERENCE 3a,3b,3c,3d) Time available: 2 hours (1 hour per process) 	Remind participants of scoring possibilities [Write on flip-over] 0= Not applicable/No information 1 = Starting 2=Ongoing, 3=Near completed 4=Completed
12:00-13:00	Plenary reflection	All Participants
13:00-14:00	Lunch	
14:00-15:00	 Group work per network 5 Network groups: (1) donors, (2) civil society, including universities, (3) UN, (4) business, (5) government. Score the progress of your own network on each of these steps. Score all 4 processes, in total 21 steps. Write scores on posters (REFERENCE 3a, 3b,34c,3d) Write down explanations to the scores on the postits Timeframe: 2 hours (30 minutes per process) 	Groups
15:00-15:15	Tea Break	
15:15-16:00	Continue Group Work	Groups
16:00-16:45	Plenary discussion of network scores	All Participants
16:45-17:00	Closure and follow-up	

Participant List (Business Section I)

Group I

No.	Name	Designation & Department
1.	U Tin Myint	Deputy Director, Planning Department, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development
2.	Dr. Thant Sin Htoo	Deputy Director, Department of Health Planning, Ministry of Health
3.	Dr. Htin Lin	Assistant Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health
4.	Dr. Sabei Htet Htet Htoo	Assistant Director, Department of Food and Drug Administration, Ministry of Health
5.	Daw Aye Aye Tint	Planning Officer, Department of Educational Planning and Training, Ministry of Education
6.	Daw Thida Htwe	Staff Officer, Central Statistical Organization, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development
7.	Dr. Kyaw Win Sein	Nutrition Specialist, UNICEF
8.	Daw Khin Khin Wint Aung	World Food Program
9.	Ms. Celine Lesavre	ACF
10.	Ms. Diane Lindsey	Helen Keller International
11.	Daw San San Myint	Save the Children
12.	Dr. Mya Thet Su Maw	DFID
13.	Dr. Nyein Aye Tun	Medical Officer (BHS), Department of Health, Ministry of Health
14.	Dr. Thet Wah	Medical Officer (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health

Group II

No.	Name	Designation & Department				
1.	Dr. May Khin Than	Deputy Director (Nutrition), Department of Health,				
2	Du Ohn Man Vai	Ministry of Health Deputy Director (HMIS) Department of Health Planning				
2.	Dr. Ohn Mar Kyi	Deputy Director (HMIS), Department of Health Planning, Ministry of Health				
3.	U Tun Oo	Deputy Director, Department of Social Welfare, Ministry of Social Welfare, Relief and Resettlement				
4.	Dr. Khaing Mar Zaw	Assistant Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
5.	Daw Htay Htay Hlaing	Assistant Director (Nursing), Department of Medical				
		Science, Ministry of Health				
6.	Daw Daisy Tun	Staff Officer, Planning Department, Ministry of National				
		Planning and Economic Development				
7.	Dr. Aye Thwin	Nutrition Consultant				
8.	Daw May Thukha Soe	Plan International				
9.	Dr. Thet Aung	World Vision				
10.	Ms. Andrea Menefee	Save the Children				
11.	Ms. Christiane Rudert	UNICEF (Regional Office)				
12.	U Paing Zaw Zaw	Myanmar School Milk Program				
13.	Dr. Wai Wai Lwin	Technical Coordinator, Pact Myanmar				
14.	Dr. Aye Mya Mya Kyaw	Medical Officer (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				
15.	Daw Aye Le Le Mon	Biochemist (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health				

Participant List (Business Section II)

Government Network

No.	Name	Designation & Department			
1.	Dr. May Khin Than	Deputy Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health			
2.	U Tin Myint	Deputy Director, Planning Department, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development			
3.	Dr. Ohn Mar Kyi	Deputy Director (HMIS), Department of Health Planning, Ministry of Health			
4.	Dr. Thant Sin Htoo	Deputy Director, Department of Health Planning, Ministry of Health			
5.	U Tun Oo	Deputy Director, Department of Social Welfare, Ministry of Social Welfare, Relief and Resettlement			
6.	Dr. Htin Lin	Assistant Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health			
7.	Dr. Khaing Mar Zaw	Assistant Director (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health			
8.	Dr. Sabei Htet Htet Htoo	Assistant Director, Department of Food and Drug Administration, Ministry of Health			
9.	Daw Htay Htay Hlaing	Assistant Director (Nursing), Department of Medical Science, Ministry of Health			
10.	Daw Daisy Tun	Staff Officer, Planning Department, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development			
11.	Daw Aye Aye Tint	Planning Officer, Department of Educational Planning and Training, Ministry of Education			
12.	Daw Thida Htwe	Staff Officer, Central Statistical Organization, Ministry of National Planning and Economic Development			
13.	Dr. Nyein Aye Tun	Medical Officer (BHS), Department of Health, Ministry of Health			
14.	Dr. Aye Mya Mya Kyaw	Medical Officer (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health			
15.	Dr. Thet Wah	Medical Officer (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health			
16.	Daw Aye Le Le Mon	Biochemist (Nutrition), Department of Health, Ministry of Health			

UN Network

No.	No. Name Designation & Department			
1.	Dr. Kyaw Win Sein	Nutrition Specialist, UNICEF		
2.	Daw Khin Khin Wint Aung	World Food Program		
3.	Ms. Christiane Rudert	UNICEF (Regional Office)		

Business Network

No.	Name	Designation & Department			
1.	U Paing Zaw Zaw	Myanmar School Milk Program			
2.					

CSA Network

No.	Name	Designation & Department
1.	Daw May Thukha Soe	Plan International
2.	Ms. Celine Lesavre	ACF
3.	Dr. Thet Aung	World Vision
4.	Ms. Diane Lindsey	Helen Keller International
5.	Ms. Andrea Menefee	Save the Children
6.	Daw San San Myint	Save the Children
7.	Dr. Wai Wai Lwin	Technical Coordinator, Pact Myanmar

Donor Network

No.	Name	Designation & Department			
1.	Dr. Mya Thet Su Maw	DFID			
2.	Dr. Aye Thwin	Nutrition Consultant			



Process 1: Bringing people in the same space

Score each step: **0 (Not started yet)**; 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at country level	3	3	3	1	2	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	 High level convening gov body (+) SUN Government Focal (+) not regularly convene 	- CBFN (Central Board For Food and Nutrition)	- UN Country Team (UNCT) - UN Strategic Framework-Myanmar	- Donor Convener agreed and identified	- Sectoral Networks of NGOs and CBOs has been established for around 5 years; Food Security Working Group; Myanmar Nutrition Technical Network - CSA was newly formed	Not started yet
Suggestions made for improvements?	* To start functioning by TOR, calendar with support from additional operational team for secretariat	* to link functions of MSP with CBFN and its operational structure	To put consideration on CRF for nutrition and relevant objectives in place	- To initiate intra- network coordination mechanism for SUN	- To initiate coordination mechanism among sectoral groups	- to start advocacy to business group on SUN
2. Coordinate internally and broaden membership/engage with other actors for broader influence	1	2	1	1	2	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Started in SUN Workshop in Feb 2014: Additional relevant line ministries on board - Active engagement of	 Internal coordination going on for NPAFN; finalizing, prioritizing, costing coordination for SUN 	- UNCT regular meetings on going - nutrition CRF still need to be articulated	- internal articulation started; relevant donors/trust funds on board	- Relevant sectoral networks have started coordination and advocacy on SUN since 2012; MNTN, FSWG	Not started yet



	executive level political	proceeds			- MNTN and FSWG	
	leadership	- coordination in			working together for	
	- Advocated and agreed	country's other			promoting CRF and	
	to engage in MSP start	development			nutrition objectives	
	MSP by networks	programming			- CSA newly formed for	
	- Internal coordination	(Comprehensive			further coordination	
	not yet	development Plan			with CBOs	
		2030; Poverty				
		Reduction programme)				
Suggestions made for	- To advocate TOR for	- To create fast and	- support for function	- to establish network	- To enhance advocacy	- To encourage
improvements?	each network	effective coordination	of MSP in the form of	TOR in consultation	to CBOs on SUN	formation of intra-
	- To encourage active	platform across	operational support	with MSP and other	- To identify CSO group	business-group
	engagement of	different ministries	(HR)	networks	TOR and initiate	coordination platform
	networks in MSP		- regularly share in		internal coordination	
			UNCT and take action		inclusive of all relevant	
			accordingly		sectors	
3. Engage within /	1	1	1	1	1	1
contribute to MSP	1	1	_	-	_	-
Explanations: What	- Has agreed on MSP	- participated in and				
activities/interventions underly	formation; obtained	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring ? (Copy post-its	formation; obtained consensus (in principle)		l · · ·	1 .		1 -
activities/interventions underly	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring ? (Copy post-its	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function)	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring ? (Copy post-its	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring ? (Copy post-its	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring ? (Copy post-its	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring ? (Copy post-its	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring ? (Copy post-its	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going - no regular function	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop) Suggestions made for	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going - no regular function	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going - no regular function	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop) Suggestions made for improvements?	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going - no regular function started yet	provide inputs for MSP formation	provide inputs for MSP formation	provide inputs for MSP	provide inputs for MSP formation	provide inputs for MSP formation
activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop) Suggestions made for	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going - no regular function	provide inputs for MSP				
activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop) Suggestions made for improvements? 4. Track and report on own	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going - no regular function started yet	provide inputs for MSP formation	provide inputs for MSP formation	provide inputs for MSP	provide inputs for MSP formation	provide inputs for MSP formation
activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop) Suggestions made for improvements? 4. Track and report on own contribution to MSP	formation; obtained consensus (in principle) for its structure and function) - Preparation of detail TOR, operational structure, working calendar – on going - no regular function started yet	provide inputs for MSP formation				



written during workshop)	cordination mechanism	discussion	mechanism	proceedings) internally	proceedings) internally	
	(Public accountability) - No report on					
	involvement in other					
	three processes					
Suggestions made for	To advocate for	To advocate for			To report for	To advocate for
improvements?	contribution report	contribution report			contribution among	contribution
	among ministries	among ministries			members	
5. Sustain Impact of the MSP	1	1	1	1	1	0
Explanations: What	- Integrate MSP	Relevant ministries	MSP approached	MSP approach already	MSP approach already	Still need to be
activities/interventions underly	approach on nutrition	involved in national	already practiced	encouraged to	accepted and practiced	advocated
this scoring? (Copy post-its	in national	development planning		beneficiary		
written during workshop)	development planning			organizations		
	mechanisms: –					
	Comprehensive					
	Development Plan,					
	National Health Plan					
	- Endured executive					
	level political					
	leadership					
Suggestions made for						- To initiate MSP
improvements?						approach



Process 2: Coherent policy and legal framework

Score each step: **0** (Not started yet; 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and programmes	2	2	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	- Overview of existing nutrition relevant plicies and programms done - Needs adapted to policies (Overview of policies and develop/update NPAFN)	- Government ministries involved in NPAFN - New Labour law will include supportive measures for caring mothers to protect and breast feeding - Legislation for BMS marketing - initiated - Universal Salt lodization	- UN network involved in updating NPAFN - UNICEF supported legislation on labour law, BMS law and USI	- Donors involved in NPAFN and formulation of its implementation plan (SUN)	- MNTN, FSWG involved in overview of policies and updating of NPAFN; with addition of CSA CSOs involved in formulation of implementation plan	Business sector is still learning to engage
Suggestions made for improvements?	•	- To conduct in depth policy analysis for further alignment	to support policy analysis of government sectorTo conduct and share own policy analysis	- to support policy analysis of government sector -To conduct and share own policy analysis	To conduct and share own policy analysis	To conduct and share own policy analysis
2. Mainstream nutrition in own policies and strategies	2	1	3	2	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	- Updated NPAFN- mainstreaming nutrition in multi- sectoral policies - CBFN to oversee NPAFN	- NPAFN in policies of 13 government sectoral ministries	UN has already mainstreamed nutrition in its policies	- Donors being global signatory for SUN already has nutrition mainstream in their policies	- Some has mainstreamed (MNTN), - some started consideration (FSWG); - some CBOs still need to be advocated	Still need to be advocated



Suggestions made for improvements?					- To enhance advocacy - need capacity building	- To enhance advocacy
3. Coordinate / harmonise member inputs in policy / legal framework development	1	1	1	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	- NPAFN as guidance for detail planning -Ministerial/sectoral guidelines for mainstreaming – yet to be established	- Ministerial/sectoral guidelines for mainstreaming nutrition in policies — started articulation within NPAFN and SUN process	- Started articulating to support for preparing sectoral guidelines for mainstreaming nutrition	- Started discussions and advocacy for mainstreaming nutrition (LIFT, EU)	- Started articulation for mainstreaming nutrition (MNTN, FSWG)	- Still need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?						
4. Influence policy/legal framework development through advocacy/contribution	2	2	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	- NPAFN – updated - SUN advocated to implement NPAFN - Obtain parlimentary discussion and support on SUN	- Legislation on BMS marketing and maternaity leave in process - National strategic plan advancement of women (NSPAW) 2012-2022	- UN advocated and support for SUN - UNICEF – lead advocate and support for legislation on BMS and maternity leave	- Donors started advocacy on policy influence under SUN frame work	- MNTN, FSWG involved in NPAFN and consultative process in development of IYCF related legislation	- Still need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?						
5. Disseminate policy and operationalize / Enforce legal framework	1	1	1	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly	- Publications and press release of updated	- Ministerial documents available at relevant	- available for limited audience; but widely	- only available in web	- only available in web from INGOs	- not available yet



this scoring? (Copy post-its	NPAFN, and SUN	web sites, but complete	available in web		- hardly available from	
written during workshop)	implementation Plan				CBOs, LNGOs	
	exists for limited stake					
	holders					
Suggestions made for	To identify target					
improvements?	audience (stake					
	holders) and perform					
	ACTIVE dissemination					
6. Track and report results						
for steering and	4	2	2	2	1	0
learning / Sustain policy	ı	2	2	2	1	0
impact						
Explanations: What	- Each sectors/ Ministry	- starting to track and	- Policy reviews and	Policy reviews and	Tracking and reporting	- Need advocacy
activities/interventions underly	just start tracking	document policies and	evaluation regularly	evaluation regularly	just to start; Some has	
this scoring? (Copy post-its	- plan to Contributions	reviews	published and share in	published and share in	already regular tracking	
written during workshop)	to international fora		web	web	but still need to report	
Suggestions made for	 Need to start track 	king and evaluation and sha	ared lessons learnt			
improvements?	 Make sure targete 	d audience are reached				



Process 3: Common Results Framework for National Nutrition Plan (aligned programming)

Score each step: **0 (Not started yet)**; 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
Align own programmes to national nutrition- relevant policies	2	2	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	National Plan of Action for Food and Nutrition (NPAFN) with its indicators has been agreed upon as CRF	13 sectoral ministries involved in updating NPAFN	Supporting development of nutrition relevant policies in align with international recommendations and country's appropriateness, thus with its (UN) own policies	National (nutrition- relevant) policies are in principle) in line with Donors' policies; Yet detail alignment still need to do	MNTN and FSWG have started aligning their programmes - Additional NGOs has reported aligning their programmes with NPAFN	- still need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?						
2. Translate policy / legal framework in Common Results Framework (CRF) for SUN	2	2	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	- CRF drafted – NPAFN - Nut-specific and sensitive programs included Implementation framework (+) -Costing in process	Ministries and UN involved support for costing NPAFN		-still need to indicate support to costed CRF	- MNTN and FSWG involved in drafting CRF (NPAFN)	- still need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?	Need to develop- (1) Capacity assessment instruments (2) Finalize CRF with costing/budgeting and M&E framework (3) agreed set of key indicators (4) agreed budgetary framework					



3. Organise	1	1	1	1	1	0
implementation of CRF						
Explanations: What	Task allocation in	Explicit task allocation	Task allocation among	Principally agreed to	Principally agreed to	Yet to be advocated
activities/interventions underly	NPAFN (+) in general;	among ministries (+)	UN agencies, general	support NPAFN (CRF)	participate in NPAFN	
this scoring ? (Copy post-its			(+)	implementation	(CRF) implementation	
written during workshop)						
Suggestions made for	Need to plan (comprehen		Support nutrition stock	- Support nutrition	- Cooperate in nutrition	
improvements?	taking, identify gaps inclu		taking	stock taking	stock taking	
	development); and resou	rce mobilization		- Allocation for support	- need to spell out tasks	
				among donors to be	in detail when	
				discussed	formulate specific	
					interventions	
4. Manage	1	1	1	1	1	0
implementation of CRF	_					Ŭ
Explanations: What	- Started planning for imp		Started along with	Principally agreed to	Principally agreed to	Yet to be advocated
activities/interventions underly	monitoring tools (NPAFN)		NPAFN	support SUN	participate in CRF	
this scoring ? (Copy post-its				implementation of	(NPAFN)	
written during workshop)				NPAFN agreed in MSP	implementation	
					structure	
Suggestions made for				Need to plan for	Need to plan role of	
improvements?				support accordingly	each participating	
				with agreed	agencies in CRF	
				implementation plan in		
				MSP		
5. Track and report						
implementation results						
for steering and	1	1	1	0	0	0
learning/evaluate to						
sustain impact						
Explanations: What	Implementation plan star	ted including tracking and	Plan to support and to	Not yet started	Not yet started	Need to be advocated
activities/interventions underly	evaluation		work together on			
this scoring ? (Copy post-its			tracking			
written during workshop)						
Suggestions made for						
improvements?						



Process 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Score each step: **0** (Not started yet 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
Assess financial feasibility	1	1	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Nut specific budget has been mapped; sensitive budget- started to map; Costing in process		Mapping already existed and ongoing	Financial assessment for other donors	Financial assessment regularly done by each organizations	Need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?						
2. Track and (transparently) accounting of spending	1	1	2	0	2	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Started for nutrition relevant activities		ongoing	Need to be clarified	On-going in individual agencies	Need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?						
3. Scale up and align resources (incl. filling the gaps)	1	1	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Started achieving advocacy to Government for increase allocation for nutrition-specific activities	Increase Government allocation for nutrition	- Increase allocation - increase support	- Started planning for scaling up	- Started planning to scale up and align resources	- Need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?	Identify financial gaps and	d mobilize resources				



4. Honour commitments (turn pledges into disbursements)	2	2	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	- Government commitment honoured and increased - External commitment (UN) honoured and increased			- Planning started to support through UN and trust funds	- Commitment of contribution (MOU) honoured and pledged	Need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?						
5. Ensure predictability / sustain impact / multi-year funding	2	2	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Stable and increasing don Long term multi-year fina			Long term multi-year projections (+)	Many agencies have medium term financial projections (+)	Need to be advocated
Suggestions made for improvements?	Resources need to be stea	adily increase reducing gaps	5			