

### NEPAL SUN SELF-ASSESSMENT WORKSHOP REPORT 27 May, 2014 Kathmandu, Nepal

#### Background

In order to measure the progress and efficiency of the Scaling Up Nutrition Movement (SUN) at a global and national level, a SUN Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Framework was developed in 2013. In order to ensure mutual accountability, a comprehensive SUN Movement M&E Framework was agreed in 2012 to measure whether the Movement was delivering results. The format for information collection is organised around the four SUN processes. The processes are summarized as **1**) Bringing people into a shared space for action **2**) Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework, **3**) Aligning programmes around common goals **4**) Financial tracking and resource mobilization

In this context, 2014 is the first year that the SUN Movement is inviting all national multistakeholder platforms to organise self-assessment workshops in order to contribute to the annual reporting on progress. The monitoring of national progress forms part of the monitoring routine of all SUN countries Platforms. It is envisioned the outcomes of workshop will contribute to an annual process as a method of ensuring accountability and so that pathways of change can be identified and shared as learning opportunities. To help SUN Country National Focal Points to facilitate this self-assessment, the facilitation notes were provided and explained with greater details through teleconference meetings.

Purpose	To review national progress of the country against the four SUN processes, to feed into the 2014 State of the SUN Movement Progress Report
Expected Result	Validated scoring of progress 2014 (April 2013-April 2014) of the national platform on all 4 SUN processes.
Participants	A range of stakeholders from government and networks (donors, civil

### Methodology for measuring the progress

society, UN, business)

Progress on each of the four processes is measured by examining the behavioural characteristics of SUN stakeholders at country level. For each of the four SUN processes, the level of behaviour is determined with the use of a pathway of change. Each pathway has steps, called Progress Markers (PM). The PMs indicate progressive levels of behaviour that can be expected as the SUN Movement evolves. Each PM is further detailed with examples ("signs") of behaviour to help score whether this step is getting started (score 1), on-going (score 2), nearly completed (3), or completed (4) in the country. The use of PMs is grounded in an Outcome Mapping methodology: a well-known method for



tracking behavioural changes as a vehicle for progress in complex and non-linear development environments.

#### Workshop proceedings in summary

- The SUN self-assessment workshop was inaugurated by Hon'ble Member of National Planning Commission Dr. Yagya Bahadur Karki and the purpose of this workshop was highlighted by Mr. Bishnu Prasad Nepal, Joint Secretary, Social Development Division, National Planning Commission Secretariat, Government of Nepal.
- The brief overview of SUN (at national as well as global level) was presented and facilitated by Mr. Radhakrishna Pradhan, Programme Director, National Planning Commission Secretariat. The update also included Nepal's participation and sharing of country progress in the SUN Global Gathering in 2011, 2012 and 2013.
- The SUN M & E Framework and a brief overview of measuring the progress on 4 processes was presented and facilitated by Jhabindra Bhandari, National REACH Facilitator, National Nutrition and Food Security Secretariat, National Planning Commission, and highlighted the reporting processes as required by SUN Movement Secretariat.
- In the morning, the **four** mixed groups were formed who actually reviewed processes ( one process for one group) based on the scoring guidelines; and details of their scoring was presented in the larger group with their explanations on comments and suggestions. The individual group work was facilitated by a team of National Planning Commission and National Nutrition Food Security Secretariat.
- In the afternoon, **5** network groups (government, civil society, donor, UN, business) were formed to work on each processes based on the reporting format. In order to solicit inputs from larger group and ensure validation, each network presented the the scoring with further explanations on comments and suggestions for further improvement.
- At the closing of the workshop, Mr. Radhakrishna Pradhan thanked all the participants for their time and active participation. He also appreciated their inputs while scoring the progress and the suggestions as well as ways to improve this further.

#### Some of the key reflections

• The level of participation and inputs from several networks (government, civil society, donors, UN and business platform) was quite encouraging. While the business platform is yet to be initiated formally, their interest for participation and engagement in SUN movement has been expressed which is appreciated by all stakeholders.



- The workshop provided an important opportunity to update about SUN movement in general and **the ways to track progress in 4 processes.**
- As this monitoring exercise was new to many stakeholders, the needs for common understanding and clarity in some of the steps of **4 processes** were discussed in the context of country situation.
- The stakeholders pointed out that the outcomes of this workshop would be a baseline progress in 2014 to measure similar progress in 2015.
- The participants from business platform showed keen interest to engage in SUN movement and requested government (NPC) to facilitate the establishment process of business platform for SUN movement.
- Monitoring of **4 processes** are perceived as important pathways to ensure scaling up nutrition where government's leadership and commitments are further enhanced and subsequently, the engagement of multi-sector platforms e.g. civil society, donors, business, UN agencies etc. is strengthened.







## Process 1: Bringing people in the same space

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at country level	3	3	3	3	2	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>National Nutrition and Food Security Secretariat (NNFSS) has been established since May 2013. The three multi-sectoral working groups: Capacity Development (CDWG), Advocacay and Communication (ACWG) and Monitoring and Evaluation and Management Information System(ME/MIS) and academia platform have been coordinating with government agencies, EDPs, INGOs/NGOs,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NNFSS has been established in May, 2013 to provide technical support to National Nutrition and Food Security Coordination Committee and High Level Nutrition and Food Security Steering Committee (HLNFSSC).The three multi- sectoral working groups, capacity development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NNFSS has been established.</li> <li>UN agencies are also the members of the three technical working groups formed by NNFSS, NPC.The meetings of HLNFSSCC and three working groups are held on regular basis.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High level steering committee, coordination committee &amp; other technical committees formed, regular.</li> <li>Multi-sector working group meetings are functional</li> <li>NNFSS has been established.</li> <li>Donor agencies are also the members of the three technical working groups formed by NNFSS, NPC. The meetings of HLNFSSC and three</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alliance already established and started.</li> <li>It has been 2 months coordination with national stakeholder, NPC, CHD is ongoing but regional, district &amp; community level coordination mechanism need to be develop.</li> <li>Within these 2 months of establishement of Alliance, it has been coordinating with NPC, CHD and other relevant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Signing declaration only</li> <li>Get partly involvement of district chambers in Nutrition policy development</li> </ul>



Report with final scores

CTED		<b>C</b>	6 f			6 (
STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
	<ul> <li>and academia in common plateform to deal with nutrition specify and sensitive issues of the country.</li> <li>mechanism has been developed /established but not all the structures are yet to be fully institutionalized within government system eg: secretariat (NNFSS)</li> <li>The meetings of High Level Nutrition and Food Security Coordination Committes and all three working groups are held on periodic basis</li> </ul>	(CDWG), Advocacay and Communication (ACWG) and Monitoring and Evaluation and Management Information System (MEMIS) and academia platform has been formed to provide common platform to deal with issues related with nutrition and food security.		working are operational	stakeholders at the national level.	
Suggestions made for improvements?	NNFSS needs to be fully intitutionlized in the government system.	<ul> <li>Meetings at regular intervals are needed</li> <li>interlization of NNFSS in government</li> <li>There needs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The established mechanism needs to be made more sustainable for long term.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Institutionalization of NNFSS and ownership of government are important</li> <li>The government will own NNFSS fully</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Better coordination mechanism and information dissemination need to be done</li> <li>The coordination</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Representation in national level mechanism</li> <li>Policy advocacy</li> </ul>



STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
		frequent meetings. • The government should be in position to institionalize NNFSS fully with own human and financial resources.	Government has to own it in real sense	in long term	level need to be expanded and mechanism need to be established at regional, district & community level	
2. Coordinate internally and broaden membership/engage with other actors for broader influence	1	2	2	2	2	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Recently Ministry of Women, Children and Social Welfare joined the membership • Internal coordination among various ministries has started • Local level & community level involvement and feedback system to be further improved • Though MSNP is	<ul> <li>Flexibility in involvement of UN in steering /coordinatin g committee</li> <li>The members of three multi- sectoral working groups are from the government,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The initiatives are progressing in positive manner</li> <li>Being a member of three multi- sectoral working groups, the representative s of UN agencies are attending the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Being a member of three multi-sectoral working groups, the representatives of donor agencies are attending the meetings regularly and providing valuable inputs.</li> <li>While being invitees of HLNFSSC, the representatives of UN agencies are attending the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CSANN established, TOR developed to conduct regular meeting among members</li> <li>Membershio from circulation decision upto Executive Committee</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Especially in SUN coordination, it is poor. However food security and food supply chain regularise in coordination.</li> </ul>



# NEPAL – Self Assessment Workshop

Report with final scores

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
	primarily owned by NPC, seven sectoral line ministries, UN agencies, EDPs, INGOs and private sectors, the document highlighted only about the five key ministries, MoHP, MoAD, MoFALD, MoUD and MoE. In the course of exploring internal membership and engagement, Ministry of Women, Children and Social Welfare (MoWCSW) has been involved with the decision of NNFSHLCC. During the revision process of MSNP M&E Framework, the sectoralM&E framework of MoWCSW has been developed. Meanwhile, to deal with communication and advocacay related components, focal person of under-	UN and donor agencies, EDPs, INGOs and academia. • While the representati ve from UN and donor agencies, EDPs, INGOs and academia are involving in NNFSHLSC as invitees.	<ul> <li>meetings regularly and providing valuable inputs.</li> <li>While being invitees of NNFSHLSC, the representative s of UN agencies are attending the meetings regularly</li> </ul>	meetings regularly		



STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
	secretary level is assigned in case of Ministry of Inofrmation and Communciation					
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Advocate and communicat e to political leadership</li> <li>Need to further explore opportunity of political leadership and engagement business sectors.</li> </ul>	Still the multi- sectoral coordination needs to be materialised fully.	<ul> <li>Involvment of local communities</li> <li>Broader memberships such the NGOs civil Society, Business communities, etc.</li> <li>Diversity membership</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Involvements of media need to be explored.</li> <li>Better dessimination of the information needed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Govt. Involvement of PSO (Private Sector Organization)</li> <li>Coordination other stakeholder (representation in all level of Govt)</li> </ul>
3. Engage within / contribute to MSP	1	2	3	1	2	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Most of the activities within MSP just started and results are yet to be experienced at national level.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Different MSP formed /WGS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UN participation &amp; contribution is at high and active level</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regular meeting happening</li> <li>Linkages with stakeholders still to be established for coordination purpose.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regular meetings and discussions update</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No involvement of PSO</li> </ul>



Report with final scores

STEP	FINAL	Score for	Score for	Score for	Score for CSO network	Score for
SILP	PLATFORM SCORE	Government	UN network	Donor network	Score for CSO network	Business network
	(Morning Session)	Government	ON Network	Donor network		Dusiness network
	• With the					
	establishment of					
	NNFSS since 2013, the					
	MSP has been created.					
	The formation of the					
	three working groups					
	and academia					
	platform provide					
	opportunity to discuss					
	nutrition specific and					
	sensitive related issues					
	among different					
	stakeholders. The					
	platform is using for					
	developing common					
	understanding,					
	exploration of joint					
	efforts, identifying					
	challenges and					
	collective ways to					
	come out, sharing and					
	dessimination the					
	information. However,					
	as it is in the initial					
	year of establishment,					
	the results need to be					
	achieved many more					
	in the coming years.					
Suggestions made for		Needs to be	Better	Result based	Should broaden	But now onwards



STEP	FINAL	Score for	Score for	Score for	Score for CSO network	Score for
	PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Government	UN network	Donor network		Business network
improvements?		strengthene d	coordination joint planning within the UN agencies is required	advocacy	regional & district level coordination mechanism for regular meetingsshould be continued	it has to be considered to make PSO contribution
4. Track and report on own contribution to MSP	1	1	2	1	0	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Report on quantitative data not seen so far to track the progress and contribution from sectors	<ul> <li>Regular sharing of experiences in national and international events.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UNDAF has incorporated and contributions to MSP</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Results to be shared widely</li> </ul>	Yet to be planned	<ul> <li>No track and report contributed in MSP. As it was not involved and not conducted any activities related to MSNP</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Regular report publication</li> <li>Web based reporting system</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improvement in tracking and reporting to MSP is necessary</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identification of stakeholders for decision making</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Better reporting mechanism to be developed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PSO role should be aware and it has to be in line with MSNP</li> </ul>
5. Sustain Impact of the MSP	2	2	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ?	<ul> <li>Existing political situation and of turn over of staff</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MSP integrated in periodic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UN is supporting the sustainable</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Just started of discussion among</li><li>Not integrated only</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Platform already formed</li> </ul>	Does not apply in our case



STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
(Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>MSP approach on nutrition is in a process of various ministries' planning process</li> </ul>	planning process	impact of MSP	health section involvement and not all the sectors		
Suggestions made for improvements?	•	<ul> <li>Too early to discuss about impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UN can initiate dialogues for sustainability</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High level political commitment</li> <li>Mainstreaming nutrition across sectors</li> </ul>	Resources should be available	



## Process 2: Coherent policy and legal framework

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and programmes	3	3	3	2	2	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Still some of policies are in finalization process – Agriculture Development Strategy (ADS) and Agriculture Food Security and Nutrition Plan</li> <li>MSNP already finalized &amp; endorsed (4 Strategy)</li> <li>WASH Master Plan – Completed</li> <li>MIYCN, Multi year Plan – only in Plan</li> <li>Maternal nutrition policy – already</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NAGA Report in 2009/10 and MSNP launching in 2012</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A lot of review has been undertaken as part of MSNP development and beyond.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not all policies are formulated in all sectors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regular analysis of the policies and programme</li> </ul>	Not involved yet



Report with final scores

	completed					
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Need to utilize the analysis of the data for policy and program developme nt</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Effective implementation or incorporation of the review findings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Policies should be finalized from all sectors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dissemination should be done</li> <li>Analysis for monitoring to be established</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Need to engage business sector for nutrition promotion</li> </ul>
2. Mainstream nutrition in own policies and strategies	2	2	4	2	0.5	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>National health policy – Poverty mentioned</li> <li>Other policies- clearly mentioned</li> <li>MSNP has addressed mainstreaming of nutrition</li> <li>Other sectors – Just started to incorporate nutrition agenda in the policies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mainstream ed in sectoral ministries' policies and strategies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Overall, all UN agencies have reflected nutrition in own policies &amp; strategies</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Internalization by different sectos on importance of nutrition and engagement through CSANN</li> <li>series of advocacy meetings by CSANN are planned</li> </ul>	Not get involved
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Policy review and disseminatio n</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Each and every programme of the agencies needs to be</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Still need to mainstream in policies &amp; programme</li> </ul>	Get involved in all forum



Report with final scores

			viewed /aligned through the nutrition sensitive lens.			
3. Coordinate / harmonise member inputs in policy / legal framework development	2.5	2	3	1	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Focal person already assigned in different ministries</li> <li>District level multi- sector steering committees already formed in each MSNP district</li> <li>Planned activities included in line ministries work plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Coordinated with UN agencies with development partners for input comments</li> </ul>	• All the agencies are ensuring the process through the respective line agencies	<ul> <li>Inadequate level of coordination and harmonization for policy and legal framework development</li> </ul>	Only HANCI evidences	
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>More effective coordination with main stakeholders</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It should be continued and strengthened</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Except nutrition part PSO, Get well involvement in policy legal frame work development</li> </ul>
4. Influence policy/legal framework	2	2	2	1	1	1



development through advocacy/contributi on									
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Ongoing	<ul> <li>Harmoniza tion of various policies through advocacy</li> </ul>	•	Support is ongoing	• •	Activities not started but policies/ strategies are updated. Activities not started but policies/ strategies are updated Sector policies not in place	•	Regular advocacy meeting with CHD, DoHs& NPC	<ul> <li>Involved in different policy legal framework development</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Revise the sectoral policies strategies if needed</li> </ul>	•	Much needs to be done and supported/ facilitated			•	Increase gradually the frequency of meetings	<ul> <li>Need to make involvement of PSO</li> </ul>
5. Disseminate policy and operationalize / Enforce legal framework	2	2		2		1		1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Ongoing process</li> <li>Still some policies are in process of finalization &amp; endorsesment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Policies are dessiminate d to the wider stakeholders</li> </ul>	•	Dissemination is supported by UN	•	Policies not in place so dissemination not happening	•	Only HANCI and generation nutrition evidences shared among CSANN members	<ul> <li>Workshop /seminar, sensitization</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?			•	Further support for dissemination need to be			•	Need to be shared	<ul> <li>Need to make involvement of PSO</li> </ul>



ENGAGE • INSPIRE • INVEST

,			continued			
6. Track and report results for steering and learning / Sustain policy impact	2	1	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Ongoing	Takes time to measure the impact of the programme	<ul> <li>All related activities are being supported and ongoing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gap in learning and sustain policies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Jointly initiated with relevant stakeholders</li> </ul>	• Not in place
Suggestions made for improvements?			<ul> <li>Much more needs to be done e.g. is maternaity protection issues</li> </ul>			

#### Process 3: Common Results Framework for National Nutrition Plan (aligned programming)

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Align own programmes to national nutrition- relevant policies	3	2	3	3	3	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy	Aligned at national level only	<ul> <li>Sectoral ministries are aligning their</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Efforts are made for programmatic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Most of the programme are aligned with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All CSOs have met programme</li> </ul>	Not in place



Report with final scores

post-its written during workshop)		policies in line with MSNP	alignment	MSP	allined with national nutrition	
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Thorough study required for better screening of the policies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>However, UN HR policies such as maternity leave/ provision need to be aligned with the maternal nutrition strategy (with ILO)</li> </ul>	•	On going	<ul> <li>Need involvement of PSO in all level of MSNP</li> </ul>
2. Translate policy / legal						
framework in Common Results Framework	2	1	1	1	0.5	0
(CRF) for SUN						
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Limited budget allocation</li> <li>Limited coordination</li> <li>Limited capacity</li> <li>Adaptable in VDCs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SUN M&amp;E framework available /MSNP M&amp;E framework in progress of revision</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>REACH is supporting CRF, M&amp;E framework.</li> <li>UNDAF even it to some extent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CRF on going</li> <li>M&amp;E framework not finalized</li> </ul>	Some of policies organization has started the programme	• Not in place
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>M&amp;E framework finalization adaptation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Push for endorsement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CRF to be developed and finalized soon</li> </ul>	•	<ul> <li>Need involvement of PSO in all level of MSNP</li> </ul>
3. Organise implementation of CRF	2	1	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What	Resources	M&E framework	<ul> <li>Taking UNDAF as</li> </ul>		• Joint strated (IYCF,	<ul> <li>Not in place</li> </ul>
activities/interventions	allocation	still to be	CRF for UN, all	CRF framework	Maternal	



Report with final scores

<i>underly this <b>scoring</b></i> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>minimal</li> <li>Limited coordination between line ministries</li> <li>Minimal capacity of stakeholders</li> </ul>	finalized • But existing M&E operational	agencies responsiable for CRF	not formed	nutrition)	
Suggestions made for improvements?						<ul> <li>Need involvement of PSO in all level of MSNP</li> </ul>
4. Manage implementation of CRF	2	1	2	1	1	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Just completed MSNP M&amp;E framework revision</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>SUN has introduced CRF recently. Further review is needed for better understanding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CRF on going</li> <li>MSNP sectoral M&amp;E framework has been finalized</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Joint Intervention just started</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not in place</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?						<ul> <li>Need involvement of PSO in all level of MSNP</li> </ul>
5. Track and report implementation results for steering and learning/evaluate to sustain impact	0	1	1	1	0 (N/A)	0
Explanations: What activities/interventions	<ul> <li>Limited coverage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Needs to strengthen the</li> </ul>	• The relvency of CRF is yet to be	<ul><li>CRF on going</li><li>M&amp;E framework</li></ul>	•	<ul> <li>Not in place</li> </ul>



ENGAGE • INSPIRE • INVEST

<i>underly this <b>scoring</b>?</i> (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• NA	operation	comprehended, given that MSNP has already a comprehensive M&E framework with results from all sectors.	not finalized	
Suggestions made for improvements?					<ul> <li>Need involvement of PSO in all level of MSNP</li> </ul>

#### Process 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Assess financial feasibility	1	2	1	2	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Only planning on MSNP and that is yet to be applied</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Each sector has increased budget</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitate         <ul> <li>introduction of             budget code for both             nutrition specific &amp;             sensitive sectors as             suggested by             financial review</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Costed plan is in place but activities not started yet</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>We are assessing ( ongoing)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Certain fund is mobilized for CRF</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Targeted/ coverage to be increased</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This needs to be further lobbyed and supported</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Activities should be implemented so that costed plan be reviewed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CSANN is trying to make collaborative effort for financial feasibility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PPP model programme design</li> </ul>



Report with final scores

2. Track and (transparently) accounting of spending	3	2	1	1	1	3
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Financial reporting and tracking is in place</li> <li>Sharing of lessons learnt</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The process of transparency accounting functional in this existing plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Individual UN agencies do annual tracking with both specific and sensitive sectors needs to continue as before.</li> <li>Only applicable for the funds supported by UN to Government.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Difficult to track individual donor's funding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ongoing. We have plan to track and initiate the process</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PSO mobilized &amp; channelized their funding according to organization system.</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Spending by districts/ reporting mechanism</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Once the budget code is applicable in place, this will be ensured.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tracking mechanisum should be developed</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Consideration needed for future involvement PSO.</li> </ul>
3. Scale up and align resources (incl. filling the gaps)	3	2	1	3	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Allocated sectoral scale-up (increasing)</li> <li>Gap fulfil</li> <li>Expenditure low</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Government is going to increase additional budget</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UN started fulfilling the GAP on MSNP to nutrition specific and sensitive sectors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gaps already identified</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Align resources – in progress</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Very low in nutrition related programme</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?		<ul> <li>Coordination for planning to be strengthened</li> </ul>				



		and funding to be increased				
4. Honour commitments (turn pledges into disbursements)	3	2	3	3	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Allocated budget by Govt. and EDPs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Initiate to increase budget from government side.</li> <li>EDPs are willing to increase budget</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Allocating budget to GoN</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commitment from EDP very positive</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>We are exploring the resources</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Needs commitment to scale up nutrition programme</li> </ul>
Suggestions made for improvements?			<ul> <li>UN support to nutrition program through MoHP and other sectors</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Employment generating promoting</li> <li>Get involved in policy/strategy / action plan</li> </ul>
5. Ensure predictability / sustain impact / multi- year funding	2	2	1	2	1	1
Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this <b>scoring</b> ? (Copy post-its written during workshop)	<ul> <li>Long term yes</li> <li>Gap fufill yes (EDP)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multi year financial planning is reflected on MSP.</li> <li>Existing budget to sectoral ministries will</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pooled funds / increasingly available</li> <li>Budget ceiling to nutrition is still awaited</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Existence of multiyear finance /funding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No funding assurance for long term</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Employment generating can reduce poverty in country and which will be impact on Nutrition</li> </ul>



Report with final scores

	continue		
Suggestions made for improvements?	continue	<ul> <li>Financial gaps should be reduced further.</li> <li>Sustainable funding to be ensured</li> <li>Government ownership</li> <li>Local governance should be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Network mobilization of DCCI- District Community Communication and Information and MCCI- Municipality Communication</li> </ul>
		strengthened.	and information