

Process 1: *Bringing people in the same space*

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for Business network	Score for CSO network	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE
1. Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at country level	4	4	1	2	1	4
2. Coordinate internally and broaden membership/engage with other actors for broader influence	4	4	2	1	0	3
3. Engage within / contribute to MSP	4	3	3	2	2	3.5
4. Track and report on own contribution to MSP	0	3	0	1	0	3
5. Sustain Impact of the MSP	4	4	2	2	0	2

Explanations: What activities/interventions underlie this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)

Government contributions	<p>Step 1: Social cluster; NTWG</p> <p>Step 2: Number of social cluster ministries grew from 5 to 7 (MoE, MoH, Min of Local Governance, Min of Labour, Min of Gender and Family Promotion, Min of Disaster Management, Min of Agriculture and Animal husbandry)</p> <p>Step 3: Monthly Reporting from each Ministry for compiled monthly report to PM office (1000 days campaign); Quarterly report for Joint Action Plan to eliminate Malnutrition (JAPEM)</p> <p>Step 4: N/A</p> <p>Step 5: Multi-Sectoral draft of the Policy and Strategic Plan on Food Security and Nutrition</p>
UN contributions	<p>Step 1: REACH mechanisms in place: each UN agency has 1 focal point and there are REACH facilitators; UN focal point on a regular base (1 x month); REACH work plan in line with SUN objectives</p> <p>Step 2: UNAIDS and UNHCR invited to participate to the uN network technical meeting ; regular technical meetings; DRG 3 of UNDAP includes nutrition</p>

Reporting format for final scoring

	<p>Step 3: Joint Planning with Donors and government; no impact tracking of MSP outcomes; UN Technical Geroup participate and support national nutrition technical working group</p> <p>Step 4: UN shares quarterly reports with government</p> <p>Step 5: Nutrition is already a flagship</p>
Donor contributions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Rwanda has a strong government – We align with government, nutrition strategy and sector strategies as much as possible – Nutrition is not a sector (in terms of division of labour) but a foundational issue) – We don't think we need a formal donor group, but exchanging information in a more informal way is useful (informal donor group) – we feel a need for a more strategic decision with main stakeholders (not just amongst ourselves)
Business contributions	<p>Step 1: National Fortification Alliance (NFA)exists, need biz only alliance/focus</p> <p>Step 2: NFA doing great work, but coordination limited to this group of 6 business representatives</p> <p>Step 3: 1 biz on TWG and Technical Committees</p> <p>Step 4: At least 1 example of RBS and biz impact</p> <p>Step 5: Some examples of this occurring but only with 1 or 2 companies</p>
CSO contributions	<p>Step 1: Catholic Relief Services and Society for Family Health applying to establish and strengthen CSO Alliance; Coordination is done by NTWG</p> <p>Step 2: Communication on SUN started; weak network/CS Alliance</p> <p>Step 3: Engaging within MSP ongoing in NTWG</p>

Overall achievements/positive changes:

- Strong political/government commitment to SUN
- Nutrition is a flagship for UNDAF
- Multisectoral working group with social cluster ministries(2 more ministries)

Suggestions made for improvements:

- Work on capacity to track impact of SUN outcomes
- Improve involvement of private sector actors (special attention point for step 2)
- Improve information sharing and exchange among networks

Process 2: Coherent policy and legal framework

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for Business network	Score for CSO network	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE
1. Analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and programmes	4	4	3	2	2	4
2. Mainstream nutrition in own policies and strategies	0	4	3	2	0	4
3. Coordinate / harmonise member inputs in policy / legal framework development	4	4	1	2	2	3
4. Influence policy/legal framework development through advocacy/contribution	4	3	0	0	2	3
5. Disseminate policy and operationalize / Enforce legal framework	4	4	3	0	2	3
6. Track and report results for steering and learning / Sustain policy impact	4	3	2	0	1	2

Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)

Government contributions	<p>Step 1: Comprehensive that integrates Agriculture, Education, Health, Gender, for Food Security, Nutrition Policy, Min Agr Nutrition Action Plan</p> <p>Step 2: N/A</p> <p>Step 3: District Plan to Eliminate Malnutrition (DPEM); Joint Action Development Forum, district level (JADF); Joint Action Plan to Eliminate Malnutrition (JAPEM)</p>
---------------------------------	--

Reporting format for final scoring

	<p>Step 4: Vision 2020; Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy II; Food and Nutrition Policy; HSSP III; Ministry of Labour; Ministry of Agriculture; Agriculture Gender Strategy; Strategic Plan for Transformation of Agriculture</p> <p>Step 5: Nutrition Summit</p> <p>Step 6: see step 4 and step 1</p>
UN contributions	<p>Step 1: Regular sharing of policies and approaches with different MSP, stakeholder interventions mapping</p> <p>Step 2 : Nutrition is a priority in UN and other network members strategies</p> <p>Step 3 : UN Joint planning and interventions</p> <p>Step : Niger government was invited to come and share experience in the national food and nutrition summit</p> <p>Step 5 : In REACH workplan, specific activity regarding dissemination of national food and nutrition policy</p> <p>Step 6 : Support to the government in the evaluation of JAPEM and DPEM</p>
Donor contributions	-
Business contributions	<p>Step1: Need more diversity in industries and companies who are involved – improvement necessary</p> <p>Step 2: Cannot be “completed”, always ongoing</p> <p>Step 3: improvement in coordination and inclusion necessary</p>
CSO contributions	<p>Sign 1: Mapping started though not inclusive</p> <p>Sign 2: Individual CSO are scaling up but not as an Alliance</p> <p>Sign 3: Food and Nutrition policy drafted and few CSOs commented; Nutrition Summit (sharing of experiences biannual event)</p> <p>Sign 4: 1000 days campaign; use of press to raise nutrition issues</p> <p>Sign 5: Policy still in draft but its being shared</p> <p>Sign 6: Individual documentation of research and lessons learnt</p>

Overall achievements/positive changes:

- Integration of nutrition in different sectoral policies and strategies and new food /nutrition policy
- Nutrition stakeholder mapping
- Public sensitisation on 1000 days

Suggestions made for improvements:

- Improve coordination and information sharing on research and lessons learnt

Reporting format for final scoring

- Strengthening private sector input in policy and legal framework development

Process 3: Common Results Framework for National Nutrition Plan (aligned programming)

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	Score for government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for Business network	Score for CSO network	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE
1. Align own programmes to national nutrition-relevant policies	0	4	4	2	3	4
2. Translate policy / legal framework in Common Results Framework (CRF) for SUN	2	4	3	0	2	4
3. Organise implementation of CRF	3	2	4	1	2	3
4. Manage implementation of CRF	2	3	0	0	0	2
5. Track and report implementation results for steering and learning/evaluate to sustain impact	4	2	3	0	1	1

Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)

Government contributions	<p>Step 1: N/A</p> <p>Step 2: M&E Framework for Nutrition is in place; Budget line specific for nutrition (MoH as well as other ministries); Ministry of Agriculture Nutrition Plan is being costed (comes from the same budget line of MTF)</p> <p>Step 3: Finalising policies, strategies, action plans, etc</p> <p>Step 4: Developing monitoring tool “dev info” specific for nutrition; “resources tracking” to track funds spent</p> <p>Step 5: Bi-annual Nutrition Summit, SUN web-site</p>
---------------------------------	---

Reporting format for final scoring

UN contributions	<p>Step 1: UNDAF in line with national nutrition strategy and targets; distribution of role according to agencies expertise</p> <p>Step 2: The UN network supported the development and costing of the national food and nutrition strategy</p> <p>Step 3: capacity assessment in the plan but not yet implemented</p> <p>Step 4: DevInfo M&E system at district level but no M&E at national level</p> <p>Step 5: In the one UN joint action plan but not yet implemented</p>
Donor contributions	-
Business contributions	Step 3: Hazard Awareness Critical Control Points, a certification process that gov. doesn't have yet due to capacity issues; 22 companies are asked to be involved in capacity building process of gov.
CSO contributions	<p>Step 1: Indicators aligned and included in policy document</p> <p>Step 2: Consultations done and included in District Plan for Eradication of Malnutrition (annual)</p> <p>Step 3: Programmes partially ongoing</p> <p>Step 4: n/a</p> <p>Step 5: No tracking done in 2013 – 2014, although social auditing in MoH done in 2011/2012 with participation of some CSOS</p>

Overall achievements/positive changes:

- Revised Food and Nutrition National Strategic Plan focus on major form of malnutrition: stunting
- Enhanced multi-sectoral approach adding Ministry of Agriculture
- Focused to reduce stunting by 2018 included in Health Sector Strategic Plan II

Suggestions made for improvements:

- Tracking and reporting system not fully operationalizing (inconsistent, lacking the sharing report with all partners, lacking feedback)
- Implementation of District Plan to Eliminate Malnutrition (DPEM) not properly done
- Supervision at district level is inadequate especially of DPEM

Process 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	Score for government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for Business network	Score for CSO network	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE
1. Assess financial feasibility	3	1	4	0	3	3
2. Track and (transparently) accounting of spending	3	2	1	0	1	1
3. Scale up and align resources (incl. filling the gaps)	3	4	4	0	2	2
4. Honour commitments (turn pledges into disbursements)	3	3	2	0	0	3
5. Ensure predictability / sustain impact / multi-year funding	4	0	3	0	1	2

Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)

Government contributions	<p>Step 1: Resource tracking system for funds; monthly financial report sent to Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning (MINECOFIN) ; both not & nutrition-specific;</p> <p>Step 2: see step 1</p> <p>Step 3: Annual budget</p> <p>Step 4: Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy (EDPRS) committee meetings</p> <p>Step 5: Annual budget</p>
UN contributions	<p>Step 1: share internally</p> <p>Step 2: report to donor and internally</p>

Reporting format for final scoring

	<p>Step 3: joint project proposal</p> <p>Step 4: delays due to internal procedures</p> <p>Step 5: no flexible mechanism in place</p>
Donor contributions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessment of fin. feasibility as soon as it is committed - tracking is not done, since nutrition is not a sector - Diversity on funding instruments
Business contributions	
CSO contributions	<p>Step 1: MoH led finance tracking tool for activities in 2013</p> <p>Step 2: Information on targeted women reported</p> <p>Step 3: CSO increasing resources, advocated for food fortification regulations</p> <p>Step 4: n/a</p> <p>Step 5: started</p>

Overall achievements/positive changes:

- New funding/donors
- Improved coordination/planning in joint interventions
- Sustained commitment of the government in pushing partners to work together

Suggestions made for improvements:

- Lack of financial tracking system for nutrition strategy
- Absence of a body/agency tracking finances
- Inadequate resources to finance comprehensive nutrition programmes