

**SUN Movement Sierra Leone**  
**Self Assessment Workshop and Outcome**  
**9<sup>th</sup> May, 2014**

The exercise was divided into two sessions, the pre-assessment and the assessment sessions

**1. Pre-Assessment Workshop Session**

- The SUN secretariat identified facilitators for the assessment workshop from people with professional and institutional background knowledge.
- Facilitators were brought together for an in-house orientation on the facilitator's guide



*Facilitators meet to review workshop guidelines*

- Journalists who were invited to cover the workshop were given an in-house orientation on the workshop purpose and the expected outcome
- Colleague staff of the SUN Secretariat were given an in-house orientation on the four processes of the SUN Movement and the SUN Movement M&E Framework
- A Terms of Reference for the workshop exercise was prepared by the SUN Secretariat

**2. Self-Assessment Workshop**

- The facilitators took the participants through the different stages of the assessment of steps that make the four processes of the SUN Movement in Sierra Leone. In accordance with the facilitators guide the facilitators broke the MSP into two big mixed groups and advised them to frankly score the different steps of the four processes



*Participants – Self assessment Workshop – SUN Monitoring exercise*

- The participants were allowed to discuss in a plenary after separately scoring the steps
- In the second half of the day participants were broken into five groups and advised to score the 21 steps while following the participants instruction
- Group representatives were allowed to present their scores and the workshop was called to end



*Morning Session – MSP separated into two big groups.*

- Facilitators completed the final score sheet and sent to participant networks for their final input before onward transmission to the global SMS



*Group Representative presenting scores*

### **Conclusion**

- The scores given by the big mixed groups indicate that Sierra Leone MSP during the period under review has progressed to an nearly completed for processes 1 and 2 while processes 3 and 4 indicate some constraints faced by the MSP of Sierra Leone
- Individual networks made very strong recommendations/suggestions for the accomplishment of ongoing issues while asking for further frantic efforts in addressing issues bordering on process four that has to deal with fund identification and mobilization
- It was also noticed that as a result of having the business network as the MSP's newest partner many activities are still underway and some not started yet and therefore the need to beef up its membership might have stood out very eminent

- Scores given to the steps under process 4 indicate that for Sierra Leone to record positive outcome from SUN activities the country must have occupied a 3+ position on Process 4 (stronger linkages amongst processes, very important to note).

Giving a critical look at the general score chart of the Sierra Leone SUN MSP the country stands at a promising position in addressing malnutrition among women and children. This position also shows the ingenuity with which participants addressed the steps of the four processes and how the movement and its stakeholders are genuine with the commitment to reducing malnutrition.

This position is not too far from previous position occupied by the country. This also indicates that much has to be done under process 4 and create stronger linkages if Sierra Leone has to progress beyond its current status.



*Sierra Leone is committed to accelerating the eradication of hunger and malnutrition  
By engaging multistakeholder platforms.*



**Process 1: Bringing people in the same space**

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at country level	3	4	2	3	2	2
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	<p>1. Coordinating Mechanism embedded in the Food and Nutrition Security Implementation Plan</p> <p>2. District Nutritionists identified</p>	<p>1. A SUN Secretariat has been created in the office of the V.P.</p> <p>2.Coordination mechanism is spelt out in the food and nutrition security implementation plan</p> <p>3.Multisector platform meets regularly</p>	<p>1. Focal point identified</p> <p>2.TOR adopted and awaiting UNCT endorsement</p>	<p>1. Appropriate donor representatives from Irish Aid, USAID and the EU attend SUN Coordination meetings and use it as a forum for information sharing and consultation on activities and plans</p>	<p>1. Individual tracking process do exist</p>	<p>1. On-going</p>
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	<p>1. To strengthen the capacity of the SUN Secretariat for better coordination particularly at the</p>	<p>1. To strengthen SUN Secretariat capacity</p>	<p>1. SUN Secretariat to be more pro-active in their coordination</p>		<p>1.Strengthen coordination among CSO</p>	<p>NA</p>

	<p>district and chiefdom levels. This could be done through the provision of logistics and funding of experience sharing activities with other SUN countries</p> <p>2. Line Ministries to deepen integration of nutrition into their programmes in order to ensure sustainability</p>		function			
2. Coordinate internally and broaden membership/engage with other actors for broader influence	3	2	2	2	2	2
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	<p>1. Regular Technical Committee meetings now organised by the SUN Secretariat at the office of the Vice President and the presidency (via the Vice President) informed on deliberations accordingly</p> <p>2. Line Ministry</p>	1. Not all focal points are active even when they have been identified	1. Other UN agencies such as UN women and UNFPA and UNDP not involed not Recommend to	1. Donors meet separately on an ad hoc basis to discuss nutrition matters and support to the national nutrition policy and implementation plan	1. The process is just getting started	1. Focal point identified

	Focal Points appointed and the Business Network now included as a stakeholder of the MSP					
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	<p>1.To improve on network coordination</p> <p>2.To deepen district nutritionists activities through the provision of logistics</p>	<p>1. To create some incentive for focal persons Technical working group coordination meetings to be held at the ministry level co chaired by MOHS and MAFFS as outlined in the plan</p> <p>2. To clearly define roles and responsibilities of focal points in ministries</p>	1.Network to reach out to other members		<p>1.To expand to provinces</p> <p>2. To increase membership</p>	NA
<b>3. Engage within / contribute to MSP</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during</i>	1. Roles and responsibilities of	1. Experience is shared at both	1. Comparative advantage	1. Appropriate donor	NA	1.This is ongoing

workshop)	<p>stakeholders clearly spelt out in the Food and Nutrition Security Implementation Plan</p> <p>2. MSP agenda engendered in the national development agenda – the 'Agenda for Prosperity'</p>	<p>global and national levels District meetings and national workshops</p> <p>2. Media Coverage of workshops and meetings by print and electronic media. Conducting radio and TV discussions with and Publications are made in local news papers at different times and news letter</p>	<p>identified in the process of coming up with a joint work plan</p>	<p>representatives from Irish Aid, USAID and the EU attend SUN Coordination meetings</p>		<p>through the focal point</p>
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	<p>1. Con-active Line Ministries to integrate nutrition into their mid term strategic plans</p>	<p>1.To create more public awareness through the electronic media (radio and TV)</p>	<p>1.Responsible person(s) to further engage network stakeholders</p>		<p>NA</p>	<p>NA</p>
4. Track and report on own contribution to MSP	<p>2</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>2</p>
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	<p>1.This step is ongoing and is based on individual sector targets</p>	<p>1. Experience shared at both global and national levels. Report and update of activities at coordination and technical meetings. Annual reports/</p>	<p>1. Part of the UN Joint Transition programme and part of the UNDAF</p>	<p>1. The donor convenor has participated in national and international level forums to share</p>	<p>NA</p>	<p>1.On-going</p>

		bulletins  2. Publications are made in news papers		experiences relating to SUN		
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Networks to look at and follow their commitments in the Food and Nutrition Security implementation Plan	1. SUN secretariat to set up reporting system with a reporting template to share to all sectors and networks and secretariat should compile quarterly/biannually 2. One coordination meeting should be used to discuss the reporting mechanism and methodology and tools 3. SUN to collate these reports and produce and disseminate quarterly/biannually at national and district level	1. Share information with left out partners for greater participation		NA	NA
5. Sustain Impact of the MSP	3	3	2	1	1	2
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during</i>	1. Key line Ministries already have	1. Nutrition is identified as a key	1. Technical support	1. The network convenor	NA	1. On-going



workshop)	nutrition budget lines	factor for development in the Government PRSP 'Agenda for Prosperity' Budget line created for Nutrition in MOHS and MAFFS Government supports the running of the SUN secretariat	provided to integrate nutrition	continue to adequately represent the network in sustaining donor impact on the MSP		
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Con-active line Ministries to mainstream nutrition in the annual appropriation bills	1. Budget allocations need to be disbursed in order to sustain SUN programmes in the government	1. Technical support to be backed with financial support		NA	NA

**Process 2: Coherent policy and legal framework**

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and programmes	4	2	2	2	1	2
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. The nutrition policy of the ministry of health have been put together and	1. There is an existing health policy and a draft food and nutrition policy .	1. Technical support provided in providing update to develop the nutrition situation	1. Donors have supported the review of policies and	1. The process has just started	1. On-going

	analysed	2. Cabinet Paper for the code of marketing of breastmilk substitutes and the forming of a national food fortification alliance prepared for the Minister. Minister currently engages with the Directorate on clarifications of on the cabinet paper before presentation to cabinet.	analysis in the UNDAF and UNJP	legislation which has taken place to date and have taken active part in the validation exercises conducted to date		
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. There is need for the periodic review of the existing nutrition policy	1. There is need for the health food and nutrition policy plan to be adopted by other line ministries 2. Line ministries to ensure that their policies are reviewed /revised to include nutrition as in the multisectoral plan	1. Technical support to be backed with financial support			NA
1. Mainstream nutrition in own policies and strategies	4	2	3	3	3	3
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written</i>	1. Key line ministries (e.g. MOHS) have	1. Multisector plan already exist but at	1. Nutrition is mainstreamed in	1. Members of the donor	1. All NGOs have taken	1. On-going

during workshop)	been pro-active in mainstreaming nutrition into their sector/ministerial strategic plans	its juvenile stage. 2. Ministers/ministries have been given this plan for sectors to hive out there activities by focal points	various sectors and strategies within the UN system	network are engaged in mainstreaming nutrition in their new policies and strategies	nutrition policy as part of our implementation startegy	
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	2. Timely funds should be sourced from government for the impementation of planned interventions	1. Line ministries to ensure that their policies are updated and reviewed /revised to include nutrition as in the multisectoral plan 2. The other line ministries must Requests for nutriron budget lines in for Nutrition from government 3. request funding to be sourced from other donors through advocacy and proposal	1. To continue supporting implementation and to implement where possible			
2. Coordinate / harmonise member inputs in policy / legal framework development	4	1	3	2	1	2
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written</i>	1. Validation workshops were	1.The National food and nutrition	1. Strong coordination	1.Donors have supported the	NA	1. On-going

during workshop)	conducted to capture member inputs into the multisectoral national food and nutrition security implementation plan and the national nutrition policy.	security implementation plan exists but need to be printed and disseminated to stakeholders  2. SUN Secretariat to take the lead in printing and dissemination of the multisectoral food and nutrition policy implementation plan in collaboration with the partners	during UNCT	review of country policies and legislation relating to nutrition		
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	Cross sectoral sensitization on the use of policy and legal frameworks be done to ensure ownership and show of commitment by stakeholders	1.To ensure monitoring of implementation by stakeholders of implementation plan	1. Further strengthen internal coordination		NA	NA
3. Influence policy/legal framework development through advocacy/contribution	2	2	3	2	1	2
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1.Policy has been adopted	1. The food and nutrition security paction plan is in	1. Joint policies and strategies in place which needs	1.Members of the donor network are	NA	1. On-going

	2. There is an existing nutrition parliamentary committee	place	to be operationalize at country level to address nutrition issues	working in support of government priorities around nutrition		
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Policy need to be reviewed and/or revised accordingly to need  Nutrition to be treated with importance by parliament	1. Food security policy needs to be developed 2. Finalise the draft food and nutrition policy 3. Sensitisation of Speaker and members of parliament which will lead to support of policies	1. To collaborate and cooperate with GoSL for operationalization of policy		1. Gather evidence for evidence based advocacy	NA
4. Disseminate policy and operationalize / Enforce legal framework	2	2	2	1	2	2
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. Information flow to the wider public is at a creeping stage  2. The forth estate has been informed	1. The wider public is yet to be captured in the information framework	1. Relevant documents are disseminated as and when necessary	1. Donors help to disseminate policy decions in international forums	NA	1. On-going
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. TV and radio discussions need to	1. To deepen public awareness through	1. To share relevant	1. Donors intend to	NA	NA

	be carried out for wider reach of audience	the print and electronic mass media, and conduct meetings at district and chiefdom etc levels  2. Funding to be made available for the work of the information and communication wing of the SUN Secretariat	documents with left out network partners	increase its membership through a donor information and engagement event after the adoption of the CRF		
5. Track and report results for steering and learning / Sustain policy impact	3	2	2	1	1	3
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. Tracking and reporting has been going on at sector/network level	1. Tracking and reporting system is at sector level. reviews meetings conducted and reports produced in MOHS. Detailed Fund tracking of nutrition is limited within sectors for nutrition Funding for SUN M&E is limited	1. Tracking system in place to monitor under the UNJP	1. Donors help to disseminate policy decions in international forums	NA	1. On-going
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Tracking and reporting to be done at MSP level	1. conduct training for tracking of nutrition activities	2. To share tracking methodology used		NA	NA

		<p>2. Develop a tracking mechanism for activities and funds</p> <p>3. disseminate this tool</p> <p>4. Have a conducted tour on how this is done in other countries</p> <p>Tracking to be done at stakeholder/network levels and shared with the SUN Secretariat for onward transmission to the MSP</p>	<p>by network with other stakeholders especially with the SUN secretariat</p>			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

**Process 3: Common Results Framework for National Nutrition Plan (aligned programming)**

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Align own programmes to national nutrition-relevant policies	2	2	3	2	2	3
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. There is already a food and nutrition security implementation plan that also serve as the Common Result Framework (CRF)	1. The country CRF has just been adopted  2. CRF at its printing stage	1. UNDAF is aligned with the Agenda for Prosperity including outcome indicators	1. Donors (Irish Aid and USAID) participated in the drafting of the results framework for the National Food and Nutrition Security Implementation Plan	1. On-going	NA
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. There is need to improve on network synergies	1. To do a country-wide sensitization programme on the relevance of the CRF	2. To strengthen collaboration with government of Sierra Leone in engendering nutrition into country	1. Donors to contribute to the full implementation of the CRF	1. Wide dissemination of nutrition policy implementation plan/CRF	NA



			development programme			
<b>2. Translate policy / legal framework in Common Results Framework (CRF) for SUN</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. There is already a policy developed 2. There is a draft aligned program	1. The CRF reflects the draft nutrition policy of the Ministry of Health	1. Programme within the UN are evidenced based and its part of the UNDAF result framework	1. Donor network participated in the validation of the CRF	1. On-going	NA
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Programme implementation to be monitored by the SUN Secretariat	1. Operational plan to be followed strictly and monitored comprehensively bearing in mind the expected outcomes/results	1. Align network result framework with the CRF	1. After adoption of the final CRF donors will discuss ways in which to best support their implementation	1. Wide dissemination of nutrition policy implementation plan/CRF	
<b>3. Organise implementation of CRF</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. There is a costed CRF 2. Funding gaps have been identified 3. There is a show of commitment from donors	1. implementation commenced There is already a country CRF	1. Joint information of nutrition specific and sensitive intervention is made available	1. The donor convenor continue to provide support for nutrition specific activities in Sierra Leone	1. On-going	NA
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Commitment must be followed by disbursement of funds for the operationalization	2. The SUN Focal point to persuade government on the relevance of SUN CRF and to	1. Non active network members to be equally informed for	The donor network to ensure the mainstreaming of nutrition	1. Wide dissemination of nutrition policy implementation plan/CRF	NA

	of the CRF	attract funding for its effective operationalization Develop an investment case for the plan	meaningful implementation	priorities in nutrition sensitive areas of work		
<b>4. Manage implementation of CRF</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1.No joint monitoring system developed yet 2.No joint network monitoring visits	1. No joint monitoring system. Sectors monitor separately	1. Limited time for monitoring	1. The implementation plan and result framework have yet to be formally adopted	1, On-going	NA
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. SUN Secretariat to develop a field monitoring visitation plan	1.SUN Secretariat to facilitate the creation of a monitoring plan	1. Need to develop a joint monitoring tool based on outcome indicators that could be monitored	1. Donor management and monitoring will commence once CRF is adopted	1.Wide dissemination of nutrition policy implementation plan/CRF	NA
<b>5. Track and report implementation results for steering and learning/evaluate to sustain impact</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1.Lessons learnt are been shared with other movements for steering and learning	1. Sectors report to ministers And share report internally and with partners. Review meetings held at sector level on	1. CRF is only completed now so tracking is not robust	1. The Donors convenor captures and are share every lesson learnt with other nor organizations in country	1.There ise no harmonised monitoring tool	NA

		implementation of activities. Some progress made by some stakeholders. Others yet to come on board				
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Lessons learnt to be sent to country networks and be used as a guide for programme prioritization	1. Lessons learnt by active stakeholders to be shared to the inactive stakeholders	1. SUN Secretariat to develop a tracking mechanism	1. Other donors like the EU and USAID to work and ensure that their nutrition sensitive programmes are also in line with agreed priorities	1. Wide dissemination of nutrition policy implementation plan/CRF	NA

**Process 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation**

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Assess financial feasibility	2	2	1	1	1	1
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. Budgeting has been done by actors (UN, Donors, NGOs and GoSI)  2. Cost have been reviewed	1. Mapping is ongoing and costing is done already	1. Plans are in place to work on this area jointly	1. Donors lobbied for government to commit a nutrition specific budget	1. Process is on-going	NA

				line in the national budget, which is in place for the first time in 2014		
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Office of the Vice President to ensure funding is provided for SUN operations	1. Map to be shared to stakeholders for adoption	2. Combine plans with action	1. Donor network will encourage the SUN Secretariat to conduct M&E on the execution of nutrition budget	1. Conduct CSO mapping including financial tracking	NA
<b>2. Track and (transparently) accounting of spending</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. Expenditure reports have been produced by sectors	1. 1 MOHS and 1 MFED Staff have had an orientation/training on tracking and financing nutrition activities from meeting in Nairobi 2013.  2. No financial tracking mechanism yet designed by the country SUN	NA	1. Donors supported a mapping exercise conducted by REACH and provide figures on nutrition expenditure to the government as requested	1. Process is on-going	NA

		<b>Movement</b>				
		<b>2. No independent auditing report</b>				
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	<b>1. Need to improve on coordination of expenditures</b>	<b>1. Training to be conducted for sectors on tracking of funds for nutrition SUN Secretariat to come up with tracking mechanism for all sectors 3. SUN to conduct a study on the different tracking mechanisms found in sectors and networks</b>	NA	<b>1. Donors will encourage and support the SUN Secretariat and other partners to put in place a resource mapping and financial reporting system for the SUN movement</b>	<b>1. Conduct CSO mapping including financial tracking</b>	NA
<b>3. Scale up and align resources (incl. filling the gaps)</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	<b>1. Allocation is been made by government for nutrition specific interventions 2. External contributions are been made</b>	<b>1. Government has provided budget line for SUN OFFICE and personnel with budget lines for nutrition in MAFFS ( nutrition sensitive) Not hundred percent filled</b>	NA	<b>1. Donors supported the costing of the Food and Nutrition Security Implementation Plan</b>	<b>1. Government provided funds for some nation NGOs</b>	NA

		2. There are gaps as in the plan				
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. SUN Secretariat to track disbursement and expenditure of SUN funds	1. SUN Secretariat to play a leading role in attracting deficit funds 2. SUN to develop an investment case for the plan. And share through robust advocacy	NA	1. Efforts will be made to engage in resource mobilisation through an expanded donor network	1. Conduct CSO mapping including financial tracking	NA
1. Honour commitments (turn pledges into disbursements)	2	2	1	0	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. Government has also shown commitment through initiation of budget allocations though limited.	1. Donor and government of Sierra Leone has provided initial budget line for nutrition in MOHS and Agriculture ministry 2. government made allocation for increased recruitment of nutrition professionals in MOHS	NA	1. Donors lobbied for government to commit a nutrition specific budget line in the national budget, which is in place for the first time in 2014	1. Process is on-going	NA
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. Government to honour commitment through disbursement of funds	1. Government to increase funding and scale up support for nutrition in all	NA	1. Efforts will be made to engage in resource mobilisation	1. Conduct CSO mapping including financial tracking	NA

		sectors and SUN secretariat 2. Government to advocate with donors for increased investment in nutrition		through an expanded donor network		
2. Ensure predictability / sustain impact / multi-year funding	1	2	1	1	1	
<i>Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this scoring? (Copy post-its written during workshop)</i>	1. A costed implementation plan exist 2. There is no SUN basket fund identified at the moment	1. Government of Sierra Leone has shown commitment and will pay wages salaries and utility costs as outlined in plan for food and nutrition activities in the government sectors. Disbursement remains a challenge	NA	1. Country budget is based on the Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF)	1. Process is on-going	NA
<i>Suggestions made for improvements?</i>	1. SUN Secretariat to lead the development of a project proposal that will attract funding from development partners	2. Goal to step up effort in addressing funding gap Continued advocacy to be made to donors for more financial technical and logistical support-developed	NA	1. Donors will encourage the SUN Secretariat to effect M&E on the execution of nutrition budget from the Ministry of Finance and	1. Conduct CSO mapping including financial tracking	NA

		investment case		<b>Economic Development</b>		
--	--	-----------------	--	---------------------------------	--	--