



Reporting template

Liberia

Participants

1.	Did the following stakeholder groups feed into the Joint-Assessment (in writing or verbally)?	
	Group	Yes/ No
	Government	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Civil society	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Donors	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	United Nations	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Business	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	Academia and science	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	If other, please specify:	
Last Mile Health(LMH), Action Against Hunger(AAH), Samaritan Purse (SP)		
2.	How many participated in the Joint-Assessment process?	
	Total	
	12	
How many participants were female and how many were male?		
Female	Male	
7	5	

Process

3.	Was the Joint-Assessment data gathered and/or reviewed during a face-to-face meeting or via email?						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="242 488 576 566">Step</th> <th data-bbox="576 488 758 566">Format</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="242 566 576 728">Collection</td> <td data-bbox="576 566 758 728"> <div data-bbox="598 589 735 645">Meeting</div> <div data-bbox="598 651 695 707">Email</div> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="242 728 576 831">Review and validation</td> <td data-bbox="576 728 758 831"> <div data-bbox="598 750 695 806">Email</div> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Step	Format	Collection	<div data-bbox="598 589 735 645">Meeting</div> <div data-bbox="598 651 695 707">Email</div>	Review and validation	<div data-bbox="598 750 695 806">Email</div>
Step	Format						
Collection	<div data-bbox="598 589 735 645">Meeting</div> <div data-bbox="598 651 695 707">Email</div>						
Review and validation	<div data-bbox="598 750 695 806">Email</div>						
4.	If an information gathering or validation meeting took place, you can attach one or more photos here.						

Usefulness

5.	If an information gathering or validation meeting took place, would you say that the meeting was seen as useful by participants, beyond the usual work of the multi-stakeholder platform (MSP)?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
6.	Why?
	Face-to-face meeting allows interaction via discussion to build consensus on the progress marker point allocation.

Participant list

Name	Organisation	Job title	Email	Phone	Add to SUN mailing list
Mameni L. Morlai	Government	SUN FP	mamenilinga@gmail.com		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Kou Baawo	WFP	REACH Facilitator	kou.baawo@wfp.org		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Tekar Jallah-Bundor	Action Against Hunger	Program Manager (Nutrition & Health)	nutpm@lr-actionagainsthunger.org	+231776985991	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Eunice Y. Johnson	Unicef	Nutrition Officer	eyjohnson@unicef.org	+231770267459	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Jimmie T. Toe	Ministry of Agriculture	Administrative Assistant	jimmietoe2018@gmail.com	+231770139053	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Rufus T. Kakar	National Fortification Alliance/Ministry of Commerce & Industry	Chair	ruftkakar2@gmail.com	+231777096239	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Oretha W. Forkpa	Ministry of Health	Administration and Finance Officer	forkpaoretha401@gmail.com	+231777767603	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Willie E. Walker	Last Mile Health	Senior Technical Coordinator	wwalker@lastmilehealth.org	+231776579428	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Solomon Gofleetoe	SUN-Civil Society Alliance Liberia	Coordinator	solo.coord.suncsal@gmail.com	+231777496510	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Participant list					
Name	Organisation	Job title	Email	Phone	Add to SUN mailing list
Marilyn K. Geeplay	Ministry of Health	Data Officer	mkgeeplay32@gmail.com	+231880987377	Yes
Emmanuel Waydon	Ministry of Education	Director	ewaydon@moe.gov.lr	+231777953459	Yes
Caudwell	Samaritan Purse	Assistant Sr. Program Manager	ecaudwele@samaritan.org	+231776072553	Yes



PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

Progress marker 1.1: Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at the country level

Final score	Last year's data used
3	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

Progress marker 1.2: Coordinate internally and expand membership/engage with other actors for broader influence

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year

High-level meeting with H.E President George M. Weah during G. Verburg visit to Liberia in March 2019. Meeting with the Speaker of the House Hon. Chambers and members of 54th legislatures, private sector and importers of flour, oil and salt. CSO in education, health, agriculture engagement at sub-national level (4 of 15 counties). Donor participation in MSP, engagement with key ministers (MoH, MoA, MoFDP, MoE, MoCI, Ministry of Gender). Support of UN Resident Coordinator in mobilizing high-level stakeholders engagement in-country.



PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

Progress marker 1.3: Engage within/contribute to the multi-stakeholder platform (MSP)

Final score	Last year's data used
2	Yes

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year

Multi-sectoral collaboration on the review and validation of the National Nutrition Policy and budget tracking exercise. High-level meeting planning and implementation with Speaker, Hon Chambers to engage lawmakers for nutrition awareness.



PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

Progress marker 1.4: Track, report and reflect on own contributions and accomplishments

Final score	Last year's data used
1	Yes

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year

Reporting template developed and planning began.



PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

Progress marker 1.5: Sustain the political impact of the multi-stakeholder platform

Final score	Last year's data used
1	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

Scaling up nutrition at the sub-national level

1.	Does the multi-stakeholder platform (MSP) exist at the sub-national level?						
	<input type="checkbox"/> No						
2.	If so, in how many counties, districts or regions?						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 33%;">Counties</th> <th style="width: 33%;">Districts</th> <th style="width: 33%;">Regions</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Counties	Districts	Regions			
Counties	Districts	Regions					
3.	Who convenes the MSP at the sub-national level? Please explain, if applicable:						
4.	Is there regular communication between the national and sub-national MSPs?						
	<input type="checkbox"/> No						
5.	Does the MSP at the sub-national level meet regularly?						
	<input type="checkbox"/> No						
6.	Which Governmental sectors work with the MSP at the sub-national level? Please explain, if applicable						
7.	Does the sub-national multi-stakeholder platform or platforms work with civil society, business, the UN and donors?						



PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

SUMMARY: Bringing people together in the same space

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

We have improved on national high-level engagement including meeting with the President, Ministers of line-ministries, legislatures. MSP meetings are regular/monthly and meetings minutes recorded. Ongoing multi-sectoral discussions with line ministries and partners on implementation of activities. CSO decentralized in 4 counties and structure established.



PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Progress marker 2.1: Continuously analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and legislation

Final score	Last year's data used
2	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Progress marker 2.2: Continuously engage in advocacy to influence the development, updating and dissemination of relevant policy and legal frameworks

Final score	Last year's data used
2	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Progress marker 2.3: Develop or update coherent policies and legal frameworks through coordinated and harmonised in-country stakeholder efforts

Final score	Last year's data used
2	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Progress marker 2.4: Operationalise/enforce legal frameworks

Final score	Last year's data used
3	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Progress marker 2.5: Track and report for learning and sustaining the policy and legislative impact

Final score	Last year's data used
1	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

SUMMARY: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

National nutrition policy updated and validated. The Country national plan prioritizes stunting reduction and planning process has begun to ensure multi-sectoral policy and strategies development. Legal framework (National Public Health Law) also reviewed.



PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

Progress marker 3.1: Continuously analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and legislation

Final score	Last year's data used
1	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

Progress marker 3.2: Translate policy and legal frameworks into an actionable Common Results Framework (CRF) for scaling up nutrition at the national and sub-national level

Final score	Last year's data used
0	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

Progress marker 3.3: Organise and implement annual priorities as per the Common Results Framework

Final score	Last year's data used
0	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

Progress marker 3.4: Jointly monitor priority actions as per the Common Results Framework

Final score	Last year's data used
0	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

Progress marker 3.5: Evaluate the implementation of actions to understand, achieve and sustain nutrition impact

Final score	Last year's data used
0	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

SUMMARY: Aligning actions around common results

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

In the planning stages of developing multi-sectoral strategies/action plan that will support moving toward common results.



PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Progress marker 4.1: Cost and assess the financial feasibility of the CRF

Final score	Last year's data used
1	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Progress marker 4.2: Track and report on financing for nutrition

Final score	Last year's data used
1	Yes

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Progress marker 4.3: Scale up and align resources including addressing financial shortfalls

Final score	Last year's data used
0	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Progress marker 4.4: Turn pledges into disbursements

Final score	Last year's data used
2	Yes

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year

Power of Nutrition continued support via Unicef and Irish Aide support via REACH and UNOPS to SUN and CSOs. However, members of the MSP continues to lobby with budget tracking exercise report for government allocation to nutrition.



PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Progress marker 4.5: Ensure predictability of multi-year funding to sustain implementation results and nutrition impact

Final score	Last year's data used
0	No

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year



PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

SUMMARY: Financial tracking and Resource mobilisation

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

Data from budget tracking exercises (2) for advocacy is available. However, domestic resources mobilization for nutrition remains slow due to limited political will, commitment and nutrition champion. Notwithstanding, financial data is available for advocacy and the process has been initiated.

Outcome marker

Scaling up nutrition-specific interventions

Final score: 2

Scaling up nutrition-sensitive interventions

Final score: 1

Outcome marker summary: Scaling up nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive interventions

Nutrition specific interventions are now in all 15 counties with developing interest in nutrition sensitives interventions for upcoming program e.g: home-grown school feeding, mother support group, WASH in school, cash transfers, community health assistant for nutrition activities, child protection interventions. Key challenges are limited domestic financial commitment and donor fatigue to the nutrition sector. Suggestion for improvement include improved coordination and strengthen advocacy activities.

SUN Business Network

1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where the private sector coordinates their nutrition actions? No
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener? Name: Email:
4.	If yes, does it have a strategy developed and aligned with the national nutrition plan? No
5.	If yes, does it have funding secured for at least the next 6 months? No
6.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with the private sector/businesses, at large? Not applicable as a Network has been established
7.	Is the role of the private sector defined or included in the national nutrition action plan? No
8.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019) Engagement of private sector still in planning stages.

Key contributions of the private sector/businesses towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

Contributions to Process 1

Bringing people together in the same space for action

N/A

Contributions to Process 2

Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

n/A

Contributions to Process 3

Aligning actions around common results

n/A

Contributions to Process 4

Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

n/A

SUN Civil Society Network	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where the private sector coordinates their nutrition actions? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform? SUN Civil Society Alliance of Liberia (SUNCSAL)
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener? Name: Mr. Dismas Cupson Email: setupcsa@gmail.com
4.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with civil society, at large? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
5.	Please explain:
6.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019) Concluded decentralization of CSO platform in 4 counties and capacity building of those CSOs. Lobbying with legislatures to prioritize nutrition in development agenda.

Key contributions of civil society towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

Contributions to Process 1

Bringing people together in the same space for action

Decentralized SUNCSAL in 4 counties and structure establish in those counties. Members including various sectors (education, health, agriculture, gender). Involve in monthly coordination meeting and awareness.

Contributions to Process 2

Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Carried out advocacy and awareness on policy and building capacity of CSOs membership.

Contributions to Process 3

Aligning actions around common results

None

Contributions to Process 4

Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Mobilized finance for SUN activities in country through grants and in-country UN support.

SUN Donor Network	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where donors coordinate their nutrition actions? No
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener? Name: Email:
4.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with donors, at large? Not applicable as a Network has been established
5.	Please explain: Yes, donor participate in MSP activities.
6.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019) Nutrition programs donors funded. Donor funding not coordinated and no plan for sustainability.

Key contributions of donors towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

Contributions to Process 1

Bringing people together in the same space for action

Helps mobilized high-level stakeholders engagement.

Contributions to Process 2

Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Provides funding for policy and guidelines development.

Contributions to Process 3

Aligning actions around common results

N/A

Contributions to Process 4

Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Supports government nutrition interventions in-country.

UN Network for SUN	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where the United Nations coordinate their nutrition actions? Yes
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform? UN Network for SUN
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener? Name: Kou Baawo Email: kou.baawo@wfp.org
4.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with the United Nations, at large? Not applicable as a Network has been established
5.	Please explain: Yes REACH agencies participate in MSP activities.
6.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019) Unicef, WFP and WHO has help in making the SUN Focal point in Liberia functional while support nutrition programs in various line ministries and agencies.

Key contributions of the UN towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

Contributions to Process 1

Bringing people together in the same space for action

Participants in MSP and internally solicit support for nutrition stakeholders.

Contributions to Process 2

Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Provides technical and financial support to policy development.

Contributions to Process 3

Aligning actions around common results

N/A

Contributions to Process 4

Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Provides financial support to nutrition programs and activities.

Academia, science and research institutes

1.	<p>Does the country have a network, forum or platform where academia, science and research institutes coordinate their nutrition actions?</p> <p>No</p>
2.	<p>If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?</p>
3.	<p>If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener</p> <p>Name: Email:</p>
4.	<p>If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with academia, scientists and researchers, at large?</p> <p>Not applicable as a Network has been established</p>
5.	<p>Please explain:</p>
6.	<p>Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019)</p>

Key contributions of academia, science and research institutes towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

Contributions to Process 1

Bringing people together in the same space for action

n/a

Contributions to Process 2

Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

n/a

Contributions to Process 3

Aligning actions around common results

n/a

Contributions to Process 4

Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

n/a

Status of past priorities		
#	Status of past priorities	Yes/ No/ In progress
1.	N/A	Yes
2.	N/A	Yes
3.	N/A	In progress
4.	N/A	In progress
5.	N/A	N/A
6.	N/A	No

Support given to meet priorities

1.	<p>How did stakeholders (the Government, the UN, civil society organisations, donors, private sector, etc.) contribute to meeting these priorities? Please explain:</p> <p>Government led in formal appointment of SUN Government Focal Point with advocacy of civil society, UN and donors. UN continues to support government policy revision and making the MSP functionally.</p>
2.	<p>Did you receive internal technical assistance (through in-country technical providers) to meet these priorities?</p> <p>Yes</p>
3.	<p>If yes, who supported you and how did they support you? Please explain:</p> <p>The UN Resident coordinator was instrumental in the President appointment of the SUN government focal point. Along with REACH mission and decision to support Liberia.</p>
4.	<p>Did you receive external technical assistance (as requested through the SUN global support system) to meet these priorities?</p> <p>Yes</p>
5.	<p>If yes, who supported you and how did they support you? Please explain:</p> <p>Request was sent to SMS in Geneva and political momentum and engagement of government of Liberia has catalyze institutional high-level decision making.</p>

2019-2020 priorities

Please list the key priorities of the multi-stakeholder platform for 2019-2020 (max 6)

#	Priority
1.	Conduct a high-level nutrition events with lawmakers.
2.	Bilateral meeting with President to be nutrition champion or to nominate nutrition champion(s).
3.	Bilateral meeting with Minister of Finance for nutrition budget allocation: SUN secretariat and sector budget line
4.	MSP to develop National Multi-sectoral Nutrition Strategic Plan and establish Common Results Framework (CRF)
5.	Establish Business Network, UN Network for SUN and SUN Donor Network.
6.	Decentralized MSP structure set-up in counties and districts

Support needed to meet 2019-2020 priorities

1.	Are you planning to seek technical assistance (internal or external) to meet these priorities?
	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>
2.	If yes, whose support you will be seeking and for what? Please explain:
	We will need internal technical assistance priorities 1, 2, and 3. We will need external technical support for priorities 4 and 5.

Priorities summary

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards meeting your priorities the past year (April 2018 - April 2019) and looking ahead.

We have accomplished 4 of 6 previous priorities: set up of SUN national secretariat, president appoint new focal point, establish MSP and develop NNP. Key challenges were change in leadership and funding mobilization. Looking ahead to meeting our priorities we have to be proactive in maintaining the momentum. Continue advocacy and lobbying for enabling environment for nutrition.

Emergency preparedness and response planning

1.	<p>Within the reporting period (April 2018 - April 2019), has the country faced or responded to a crisis requiring humanitarian assistance?</p>
	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
2.	<p>If yes, what was the type of emergency</p>
	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
3.	<p>And what was the duration of this emergency? Please explain:</p>
	<p></p>
4.	<p>Does the country have a national plan on emergency preparedness and response?</p>
	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
5.	<p>If yes, does the national plan on emergency preparedness and response include nutrition actions and indicators (both nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive)?</p>
	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

Emergency preparedness and response planning

6.	<p>Is the continuum of good nutrition (from lifesaving emergency action to long-term development planning) considered in discussions within the multi-stakeholder platform?</p> <p>Yes</p>
7.	<p>Does the multi-stakeholder platform include relevant stakeholders, including humanitarian, resilience, or disaster risk reduction actors?</p> <p>Yes</p>
8.	<p>If yes, which stakeholders are included? Please explain:</p> <p>The disaster management agency occasional attends MSP meetings.</p>
9.	<p>Does the SUN Focal Point, or another representative from the multi-stakeholder platform, participate in existing national humanitarian coordination systems?</p> <p>No</p>
10.	<p>More information: Please add any additional information that is important to the work of the multi-stakeholder platform in times of crisis.</p>

Gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls

1.	<p>Is gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls seen as a priority in the work of the multi-stakeholder platform?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
2.	<p>Has gender parity (no less than 40 per cent of either women or men) in the composition of the multi-stakeholder platform been achieved?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
3.	<p>Is a governmental Ministry or Department responsible for women's affairs/gender equality in the country a member of the multi-stakeholder platform?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
4.	<p>If yes, what is the name of this Ministry or Department? Please explain:</p> <p>The Ministry of Gender Child and Social Protection (MoGCSP) participate in MSP meeting and events.</p>
5.	<p>If not a part of the multi-stakeholder platform, how do you engage with this Ministry or Department? Please explain:</p>
6.	<p>Does the multi-stakeholder platform collaborate with other non-state actors that are working towards gender equality and the empowerment of women?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
7.	<p>If yes, with whom do you engage?</p>

Gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls

8.	Does the multi-stakeholder platform have an overview of the nutritional status of women, men, adolescent girls and adolescent boys in the country, to better address needs?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
9.	Has analysis or a stocktake of existing nutrition policies, legislation and regulations from a gender perspective taken place?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
10.	Does the multi-sectoral and multi-stakeholder nutrition plan or strategy address gender equality, through actions and indicators?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
11.	Does your country have a national gender equality policy or strategy in place?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
12.	If yes, does this policy or strategy address nutrition, through actions and indicators?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
13.	<p>What actions, such as advocacy, have been implemented by the multi-stakeholder platform in 2018-2019 to ensure gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls? Please explain:</p> <p>The MoGCSP engagement is in progress and has began participating in MSP activities. Other actions a still in planning stages.</p>

Nutrition-sensitive and sustainable food systems

1.	<p>In addition to the multi-stakeholder platform for nutrition, does another multi-stakeholder platform or mechanism on food security and food systems exist in the country?</p> <p>No</p>
2.	<p>If yes, what is this platform or mechanism called and who is a part of this platform? Please explain:</p>
3.	<p>If yes, does the multi-stakeholder platform for nutrition work in close collaboration with this food security or food system mechanism?</p>
4.	<p>Do key food system stakeholders (including the private sector) participate in the multi-stakeholder platform for nutrition?</p> <p>Yes</p>
5.	<p>If yes, which stakeholders participate? Please explain:</p> <p>Businesses distributing Fortified flour, oil, salt.</p>
6.	<p>If not, which stakeholders should be more involved? Please explain:</p>
7.	<p>Are efforts ongoing to put in place policies or legislation to enhance the contribution of food systems towards healthy diets and good nutrition?</p> <p>In progress</p>

Nutrition-sensitive and sustainable food systems	
8.	If yes, what types of policies or legislation?
9.	Has your country put in place large-scale programmes or investments aiming to improve the productivity and sustainability of food systems?
	No
10.	If yes, which ones? Please explain
11.	And are these programmes designed to contribute to healthier diets and good nutrition?
	No
12.	Are these programmes aligned with or integrated into the Common Results Framework?
	No

Advocacy and communication

1.	Does the multi-stakeholder platform have a communication and/or advocacy strategy or plan in place?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
2.	If yes, does this strategy aim to:
3.	Do you work with the media to amplify key messages, raise awareness and demand action on nutrition?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.	If yes, how does this work take form and with whom do you work?
5.	Do parliamentarians work with the multi-stakeholder platform?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
6.	Has a parliamentary network for nutrition been established? Yes/No
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
7.	Has the multi-stakeholder platform nominated nutrition champions?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
8.	If yes, please list their names and titles:
9.	Have you produced any communication materials or products related to the SUN approach and/or country-level SUN achievements?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No

Advocacy and communication

10.	Do you use any SUN Movement communications materials to support in-country advocacy?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
11.	If yes, which products do you normally use:
12.	If no, this is because of:
13.	Have high-level nutrition events been organised during the reporting period (April 2018 -April 2019)?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
14.	If yes, please explain: Delegates from the SUN country team had a meeting with the Speaker Chambers of the 54th House of Legislature on April 16, 2019. The purpose of the meeting was to Create Nutrition Awareness for Decision Making. The outcome of the meeting with the speaker was to organize a one-day engagement and awareness raising meeting with members of the House of Representatives.
15.	Are any high-level events planned for the period ahead (April 2019 - April 2020)?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
16.	If yes, please explain: Nutrition awareness and advocacy with the 54th house of representatives.
17.	Have you ever received advocacy and communication-related technical assistance?
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
18.	If yes, please explain:
19.	Would you like to scale up your communication and advocacy, but feel that you do not have the capacity, resources or knowledge?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes