



# Reporting template

Uganda

## Participants

1.	Did the following stakeholder groups feed into the Joint-Assessment (in writing or verbally)?	
	<b>Group</b>	<b>Yes/ No</b>
	Government	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Civil society	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Donors	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	United Nations	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Business	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Academia and science	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
	Other	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	If other, please specify:	
2.	How many participated in the Joint-Assessment process?	
	<b>Total</b>	
	43	
How many participants were female and how many were male?		
<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	
20	23	

Process							
3.	Was the Joint-Assessment data gathered and/or reviewed during a face-to-face meeting or via email?						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Step</th> <th>Format</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Collection</td> <td>Meeting</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Review and validation</td> <td>Meeting</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Step	Format	Collection	Meeting	Review and validation	Meeting
	Step	Format					
Collection	Meeting						
Review and validation	Meeting						
4.	If an information gathering or validation meeting took place, you can attach one or more photos here.						

Usefulness	
5.	<p>If an information gathering or validation meeting took place, would you say that the meeting was seen as useful by participants, beyond the usual work of the multi-stakeholder platform (MSP)?</p>
	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
6.	<p>Why?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SUN Movement Monitoring, Evaluation, Accountability, Learning (MEAL) theory of change, the SUN engagement principles, the conceptual framework for all forms of malnutrition and the Lancet series report 2013 were presented and well appreciated by members</li> <li>• The exercise enabled harmonization and alignment of implementation work plans for nutrition sensitive, specific and governance in implementing sectors including activities of the Development Partners for 2019;</li> </ul>



## PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

### Progress marker 1.1: Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at the country level

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

The National Coordination mechanism is well elaborated with linkages from national to Local Government and community levels as follows; • National Level Nutrition Coordination Structures: Policy Coordination Committee; Implementation Coordination Steering Committee; Multi Sectoral Nutrition Technical Coordination Committee; Sector Nutrition Coordination Committee • Local Government Level Nutrition Coordination Structures: District Nutrition Coordination Committee; Municipal Nutrition Coordination committees; Town council Nutrition Coordination Committee; Sub county Nutrition Coordination Committee and Division Nutrition Coordination committee • SUN Networks: Nutrition Development Partners; Civil Society Network; Business network and Academic and research network • At Community level : Parish Development Committees and Village Councils executive Committees



## PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

### Progress marker 1.2: Coordinate internally and expand membership/engage with other actors for broader influence

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- The Nutrition development Partners Group in Karamoja has set up a new platform to fast-track implementation of nutrition Interventions in the region. A joint mission of the partners was done, and commitment has been renewed.
- Office of the Prime Minister has held one-on-one engagements with all implementing partners and sectors to clearly map, identify and follow-up on any emerging issues affecting nutrition programming.
- A Multi-sectoral Nutrition Stakeholder mapping and coverage is in place to facilitate coordination of Multi-Sectoral Nutrition stakeholders at National and Local Government level.
- Multi-Sectoral Nutrition Technical Committee is held on quarterly basis.
- The District Nutrition Committees from 30 districts have extended coordination to lower local governments in a phased manner.
- The Multisectoral Nutrition Committee undertook district actions quarterly support supervision to 10 DNCCs in Western and Eastern Uganda.
- 18 districts have reviewed their 2015-2020 Nutrition Action plans and re-aligned their interventions for 2018-2020 under nutrition specific, sensitive and Governance.
- Government through the budget speech for FY 2019/20, has prioritized funding towards nutrition interventions in the fight against malnutrition.



## PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

### Progress marker 1.3: Engage within/contribute to the multi-stakeholder platform (MSP)

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- Office of the Prime Minister has held one-on-one engagements with all implementing partners and sectors to clearly map, identify and follow-up on any emerging issues affecting nutrition programming.
- Similarly, joint work planning sessions with all stakeholders have been held to align programming towards common results.
- The Nutrition development Partners Group in Karamoja have set up a new platform to fast-track implementation of nutrition Interventions in the region. A joint mission of the partners was done, and commitment has been renewed.
- Government and stakeholders supported and participated in celebrations of the World Breastfeeding week, 2018.
- Government through the National Information Platform for Nutrition Project, NIPN has put in place a policy committee to strengthen national capacity to make better use of existing information and data to inform Policies and programmes in all sectors that could contribute to better nutrition in Uganda.
- Political leaders have been involved in support supervision of different government projects to empower them, to hold technical officers accountable and create awareness in communities.



## PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

### Progress marker 1.4: Track, report and reflect on own contributions and accomplishments

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- SUN platforms have not been regularly reporting to the National Coordination framework due to lack of standardized reporting formats. This has, however been resolved through the Standard Operating Procedures that await validation by end of 2019.
- Presentations on status of implementation of Nutrition Interventions have been made to the Uganda Multi-sectoral technical Nutrition Coordination Committee.
- As part of the development of UNAP II, a monitoring and reporting framework has been developed.





## PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

### Progress marker 1.5: Sustain the political impact of the multi-stakeholder platform

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- There exist a National Nutrition Forum chaired by the Prime Minister; is an apex for nutrition programming comprised of all key national and local nutrition stakeholders. The forum meet annually to review implementation of the nutrition policy and to provide policy advice and advocacy for nutrition.
- There exists the Policy Coordination Committee (PCC) for Nutrition as a sub Cabinet committee composed of Cabinet Ministers and Chairpersons of Ministries, Departments and Agencies implementing nutrition interventions that is chaired by the Prime Minister. The PCC is responsible for policy.
- The Implementation Coordination Steering Committee (ICSC) consists of Permanent Secretaries and Executive Directors of relevant MDAs and is chaired by Permanent Secretary Office of the Prime Minister. This committee is responsible for technical oversight of policy implementation and technical direction.
- The Multi-Sectoral Nutrition Technical Coordination Committee (MSNTC) is chaired by Permanent Secretary Coordinates and is responsible for technical guidance for smooth implementation across ministries and sectors.
- At the sector level, nutrition coordination committees are chaired by respective Permanent Secretaries. These committees ensure joint planning and budgeting for nutrition activities within each sector, prepare quarterly monitoring reports for submission to the Multi-sectoral Nutrition technical committee within their area of responsibility.
- At decentralized level, District Nutrition Coordination committees are chaired by Chief Administrative Officer with members for technical planning committees from departments being members.
- Below the district, the coordination structure has lower local government coordination committees taking the same form of composition as for the district. Efforts are being put in place to scale up the structure to the parish and village level structures of Parish development committees and village councils.
- A number of best practices of using the multi-sectoral approach to coordinate nutrition have been documented, shared and used to better implement nutrition programmes.



## PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

### Scaling up nutrition at the sub-national level

1.	Does the multi-stakeholder platform (MSP) exist at the sub-national level?		
	Yes		
2.	If so, in how many counties, districts or regions?		
	<b>Counties</b>	<b>Districts</b>	<b>Regions</b>
		127	
3.	Who convenes the MSP at the sub-national level? Please explain, if applicable:		
	The District Nutrition Coordination Committee is convened by the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO)		
4.	Is there regular communication between the national and sub-national MSPs?		
	Yes		
5.	Does the MSP at the sub-national level meet regularly?		
	Yes		
6.	Which Governmental sectors work with the MSP at the sub-national level? Please explain, if applicable		
	Ministry of Local Government; Health, education, water and environment; Gender, Labour and Social Development; Trade, Industry and Cooperatives.		
7.	Does the sub-national multi-stakeholder platform or platforms work with civil society, business, the UN and donors?		
	At district level, the DNCCs are supported by various development/implementing partners to implement nutrition specific, nutrition sensitive and nutrition governance interventions.		



## PROCESS 1: Bringing people together in the same space for action

### **SUMMARY: Bringing people together in the same space**

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

Key positive developments during the period include; • Development of a harmonized multi-sectoral nutrition implementation work plan (with nutrition interventions in sector development plans, indicators and , costs) for 2018/2019 • Reaching out to more districts to establish nutrition coordination committees at sub county levels • Initial process for establishment of the SUN business network for Uganda (Desk review for stakeholder mapping of key actors ) However, during the period under review the following planned activities did not take place; • National Nutrition Forum • Initial processes for establishment of the Academic Network for Scaling Up Nutrition During the period 2019-2020, the following will be undertaken to improve process 1; • Stakeholder mapping for business actors • Establishment of the SUN business network and development of its strategy • Stakeholder mapping for academic and research institutions actors • Establishment of the Academic Network for Scaling Up Nutrition and development of its strategy • Continued establishment of Nutrition Coordination Committees at sub county level and new districts



## PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

### Progress marker 2.1: Continuously analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and legislation

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year

- Government reviewed UNAP I implementation and findings have been used to inform the development of the second UNAP 2018-2025. UNAP II will be approved by Cabinet by end of 2019.
- A draft National Nutrition Policy has been developed and submitted to Cabinet for approval. Awaiting final submission of the Regulatory Impact Assessment for the policy.
- Government through the National Information Platform for Nutrition Project, NIPN has put in place a policy committee to strengthen national capacity to make better use of existing information and data to inform Policies and programmes in all sectors that could contribute to better nutrition in Uganda.
- Uganda is developing a 3rd National Development Plan and Nutrition interventions are being prioritized in the different programmes under the different sectors.
- The Ministry of Health has reviewed Maternal Infant and Young Child and Adolescent Nutrition and Integrated management of Acute malnutrition Guidelines



## PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

### Progress marker 2.2: Continuously engage in advocacy to influence the development, updating and dissemination of relevant policy and legal frameworks

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- The Uganda Nutrition Advocacy and communication plan Action Plan 2017-2022 and Nutrition Advocacy and Communication Strategy for the UNAP were reviewed by all stakeholders. The key recommendation is for Government to develop a Communication strategy for UNAP II.
- Government has continuously engaged and built capacity of Members of Parliament to create an enabling legislative regulatory framework for Nutrition. This is in addition to updating them, on the status of Nutrition Programming in country.
- H.E the President launched a Presidential handbook for Healthy Feeding and Lifestyle for all Ugandans.
- The Minister of Education and First Lady of Uganda held regional tours promoting Parent-led school feeding for their children.
- National Technical Working Groups on Food Safety and Food Fortification have been set up.



## PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

### Progress marker 2.3: Develop or update coherent policies and legal frameworks through coordinated and harmonised in-country stakeholder efforts

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- Government reviewed UNAP I implementation and findings have been used to inform the development of the second UNAP 2018-2025. UNAP II will be approved by Cabinet by end of 2019.
- A draft National Nutrition Policy has been developed and submitted to Cabinet for approval. Awaiting final submission of the Regulatory Impact Assessment for the policy.
- Uganda is developing a 3rd National Development Plan and Nutrition interventions are being prioritized in the different programmes under the different sectors.



## PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

### Progress marker 2.4: Operationalise/enforce legal frameworks

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

MOH is effectively enforcing the implementation of the regulations regarding Breast milk substitutes ;



## PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

### Progress marker 2.5: Track and report for learning and sustaining the policy and legislative impact

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- Government reviewed UNAP I implementation and findings have been used to inform the development of the second UNAP 2018-2025. UNAP II will be approved by Cabinet by end of 2019.
- A draft National Nutrition Policy has been developed and submitted to Cabinet for approval. Awaiting final submission of the Regulatory Impact Assessment for the policy.
- Uganda is developing a 3rd National Development Plan and Nutrition interventions are being prioritized in the different programmes under the different sectors.





## PROCESS 2: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

### SUMMARY: Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

During the period 2018-2019, the following key actions were undertaken; • Government reviewed UNAP I implementation and findings have been used to inform the development of the second UNAP 2019-2025. • A draft National Nutrition Policy has been developed and submitted to Cabinet for approval. • Government through the National Information Platform for Nutrition Project, NIPN has put in place a policy committee to strengthen national capacity to make better use of existing information and data to inform Policies and programmes in all sectors that could contribute to better nutrition in Uganda. • Uganda is developing a 3rd National Development Plan and Nutrition interventions are being prioritized in the different programmes under the different sectors. • The Ministry of Health has reviewed Maternal Infant and Young Child and Adolescent Nutrition and Integrated management of Acute malnutrition Guidelines • H.E the President launched a Presidential handbook for Healthy Feeding and Lifestyle for all Ugandans. • The Minister of Education and First Lady of Uganda held regional tours promoting Parent-led school feeding for their children. • National Technical Working Groups on Food Safety and Food Fortification have been set up. During the period 2019-2020, the following key actions will be undertaken; • The Nutrition Advocacy and Communication Strategy 2015-2019 will be reviewed and key recommendation used develops a Communication strategy for UNAP II. • Regulatory Impact Assessment for the policy will be undertaken. • National nutrition policy will be submitted to cabinet for approval



## PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

### Progress marker 3.1: Continuously analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and legislation

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- Government reviewed UNAP I implementation and findings have been used to inform the development of the second UNAP 2018-2025. UNAP II will be approved by Cabinet by end of 2019.
- Uganda is developing a 3rd National Development Plan and Nutrition interventions are being prioritized in the different programmes under the different sectors.
- Government held review meetings with stakeholders in the status of implementation of priority interventions on nutrition in the Sector Development Plans.
- A Capacity Needs Assessment for Nutrition Implementation is ongoing and will be completed by September 2019.
- Standard Operating Procedures for Nutrition Governance at all levels have been developed and await validation in September 2019.



### PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

## Progress marker 3.2: Translate policy and legal frameworks into an actionable Common Results Framework (CRF) for scaling up nutrition at the national and sub-national level

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- A national Nutrition Annual Work Plan was developed in consultation with all stakeholders
- The Development of the Second Uganda Nutrition Action Plan is ongoing, with its M&E and reporting framework
- 15 districts have been supported to develop and review Multi-sectoral Nutrition Action Plans
- Quarterly support supervision to Local Governments has been conducted



### PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

## Progress marker 3.3: Organise and implement annual priorities as per the Common Results Framework

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- A national Nutrition Annual Work Plan was developed in consultation with all stakeholders
- The Development of the Second Uganda Nutrition Action Plan is ongoing, with its M&E and reporting framework
- 15 districts have been supported to develop and review Multi-sectoral Nutrition Action Plans
- Quarterly support supervision to Local Governments has been conducted



### PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

## Progress marker 3.4: Jointly monitor priority actions as per the Common Results Framework

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- Quarterly support supervision to Local Governments has been conducted



### PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

## Progress marker 3.5: Evaluate the implementation of actions to understand, achieve and sustain nutrition impact

Final score	Last year's data used
4	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

The Strategic Review of UNAP has been undertaken through regional and national consultative meetings. This review analyzed UNAP 2011-2017 implementation, documenting the strengths of the Plan, weaknesses and opportunities so as to inform the development of its successor UNAP 2018-2025. In so doing a review of documentation of global, regional and national perspective was done. A focus of 2017-2018 has been to ensure the process of development of second UNAP is well coordinated. The second UNAP as coordinated by the Office of the Prime Minister, is in the final stages of development. The UNAP 2018-2025 has been developed with its content scope focused on three strategic objectives; Nutrition sensitive; nutrition specific and nutrition governance.



## PROCESS 3: Aligning actions around common results

### **SUMMARY: Aligning actions around common results**

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

The Strategic Review of UNAP has been undertaken through regional and national consultative meetings. This review analyzed UNAP 2011-2017 implementation, documenting the strengths of the Plan, weaknesses and opportunities so as to inform the development of its successor UNAP 2018-2025. In so doing a review of documentation of global, regional and national perspective was done. A focus of 2017-2018 has been to ensure the process of development of second UNAP is well coordinated. The second UNAP as coordinated by the Office of the Prime Minister, is in the final stages of development. The UNAP 2018-2025 has been developed with its content scope focused on three strategic objectives; Nutrition sensitive; nutrition specific and nutrition governance.



## PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

### Progress marker 4.1: Cost and assess the financial feasibility of the CRF

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

From Sector Development Plans (2015-2020) and budget framework papers (2017-2020) for UNAP implementing sectors nutrition specific and nutrition sensitive budget lines have identified to establish the available costs.





## PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

### Progress marker 4.2: Track and report on financing for nutrition

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

Sector Development Plan, Budget framework and Annual budgets are available but the multi sectoral platforms although the gap analysis and alignment of resources for scale up nutrition programming is ongoing. There is need to Cost nutrition high-impact interventions: IYCF counseling, Vitamin A supplementation, SAM treatment, Iron-Folic Acid supplementation, Salt iodization, Food Fortification, maternal micronutrient supplements, provision of complementary food supplements for children 6–23 months living under poverty line, Zinc supplementation to be able to get the realistic picture.



## PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

### Progress marker 4.3: Scale up and align resources including addressing financial shortfalls

Final score	Last year's data used
1	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

At individual sector level, development partners, government align their funds to address shortfalls/gaps. In addition, individual sectors have been able to mobilize additional resources based on the gaps identified.



## PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

### Progress marker 4.4: Turn pledges into disbursements

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

- The GoU is implementing a grant of US\$ 27.64 million from the Global Agricultural and Food Security Program (GAFSP) under the Uganda Multi-sectoral Nutrition Project (2015-2019) whose development objective is “To increase production and consumption of micronutrient-rich foods and utilization of community-based nutrition services in smallholder households in project areas” are being used to implement at national, district and community level in 15 districts.
- The Government during the period under review has received support from European Commission to implement The National Information Platforms for Nutrition (NIPN) (2018-2021).
- The Government has also received funding to implement the Northern Uganda Development Initiative in addition to other ongoing programmes such as Operation wealth creation which have nutrition sensitive interventions objectives.



## PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

### Progress marker 4.5: Ensure predictability of multi-year funding to sustain implementation results and nutrition impact

Final score	Last year's data used
3	Yes

**Please explain how you determined this score, especially if changes have been seen over the past year**

The national budget is the Government's main economic policy document, indicating how the Government plans to use public resources to meet policy goals. The financing process is guided by the Budget cycle through issuance of the annual budget circular calls. Sector budgets and work plans provide a basis for ensuring funding mobilisation and resource allocation. A Strategic Review of Sustainable Development Goal 2 in Uganda conducted in 2017 reviewed sector budgets health; water, sanitation and the environment; social development sector and the agriculture in order to understand the level of detail on government investments in nutrition. In general, budget transparency for nutrition is low due to high level of aggregation making information on actual expenditure on nutrition sensitive and nutrition specific to be scarce.



## PROCESS 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

### SUMMARY: Financial tracking and Resource mobilisation

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards bringing people together in the same space seen the past year (April 2018 - April 2019). Note that this section will be used to draft your individual country profiles in the SUN Movement annual progress report.

A review of UNAP 2011-2017 it has been established that various Ministries, Department and Agencies contain nutrition specific and nutrition sensitive expenditures which are reflected in their 2015-2020 Sector Development Plans. Given this opportunity provided by the UNAP review process, the following key actions will be undertaken to improve finance tracking and resource mobilization for nutrition; • Undertake an exercise to Track Government Investments for Nutrition. The National investments for nutrition will be disaggregated into nutrition specific and sensitive programmes per MDA, sources of funding and allocations expenditures for 2018/2019. In addition government spending per child U5 for nutrition-specific; proportion of total government spending on essential services: education, health and social protection; percentage budgeted for nutrition-specific spending and geographic distribution of resources at sub-national level linked with mapping of stakeholders and actions. • Undertake an exercise to track Development Partner Investments for Nutrition to detail ; Donor spending per stunted child U5 for nutrition, Donor spending per child U5 for high impact interventions, Total resource flows for development, by recipient and type of flow and Percentage budgeted for nutrition-specific spending • Develop a costed financing gap mobilization plan for nutrition interventions for 2018/2019

## Outcome marker

### Scaling up nutrition-specific interventions

Final score: 4

### Scaling up nutrition-sensitive interventions

Final score: 4

### Outcome marker summary: Scaling up nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive interventions

During the period under review, a Nutrition stakeholder and action Mapping was carried out and national level and in 18 districts. Preliminary findings indicate that Vitamin A supplementation and deworming and consumption of iodised salt are at scale nationwide. The rest of the high impact nutrition specific actions are not yet to scale. Most nutrition sensitive have insufficient coverage when compared to nutrition specific interventions.

SUN Business Network	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where the private sector coordinates their nutrition actions?  <b>In progress</b>
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?  
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener?  <b>Name: Email:</b>
4.	If yes, does it have a strategy developed and aligned with the national nutrition plan?  <b>N/A</b>
5.	If yes, does it have funding secured for at least the next 6 months?  <b>N/A</b>
6.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with the private sector/businesses, at large?  <b>Yes</b>
7.	Is the role of the private sector defined or included in the national nutrition action plan?  <b>Yes</b>
8.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019)  

## Key contributions of the private sector/businesses towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

### **Contributions to Process 1**

*Bringing people together in the same space for action*

### **Contributions to Process 2**

*Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework*

### **Contributions to Process 3**

*Aligning actions around common results*

### **Contributions to Process 4**

*Financial tracking and resource mobilisation*



SUN Civil Society Network	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where the private sector coordinates their nutrition actions?
	N/A
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener?
	<b>Name: Email:</b>
4.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with civil society, at large?
	N/A
5.	Please explain:
6.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019)

## Key contributions of civil society towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

### **Contributions to Process 1**

*Bringing people together in the same space for action*

### **Contributions to Process 2**

*Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework*

### **Contributions to Process 3**

*Aligning actions around common results*

### **Contributions to Process 4**

*Financial tracking and resource mobilisation*

SUN Donor Network	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where donors coordinate their nutrition actions?
	Yes
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?
	Nutrition Development Partners Group (NDPG)
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener?
	<b>Name:</b> UNICEF <b>Email:</b>
4.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with donors, at large?
	N/A
5.	Please explain:
6.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019)

## Key contributions of donors towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

### **Contributions to Process 1**

*Bringing people together in the same space for action*

Supported establishment and capacity building for District Nutrition Coordination committees

### **Contributions to Process 2**

*Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework*

provided consolidated feedback on the draft UNAPII

### **Contributions to Process 3**

*Aligning actions around common results*

participated actively in the development of the UNAPII and district Nutrition Action plans

### **Contributions to Process 4**

*Financial tracking and resource mobilisation*

provided financial and technical resources for scaling up nutrition especially the nutrition governance actions at national and local government levels

UN Network for SUN	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where the United Nations coordinate their nutrition actions?
	N/A
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener?
	<b>Name: Email:</b>
4.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with the United Nations, at large?
	N/A
5.	Please explain:
6.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019)

## Key contributions of the UN towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

### **Contributions to Process 1**

*Bringing people together in the same space for action*

### **Contributions to Process 2**

*Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework*

### **Contributions to Process 3**

*Aligning actions around common results*

### **Contributions to Process 4**

*Financial tracking and resource mobilisation*

Academia, science and research institutes	
1.	Does the country have a network, forum or platform where academia, science and research institutes coordinate their nutrition actions?  <b>No</b>
2.	If yes, what is the name of this network, forum or platform?  
3.	If yes, what is the name and contact details of the convener  <b>Name: Email:</b>
4.	If the country has not established a network, forum or platform, does the multi-stakeholder platform work with academia, scientists and researchers, at large?  <b>N/A</b>
5.	Please explain:  
6.	Overall summary of progress achieved or challenges over the past year (April 2018 to April 2019)  

## Key contributions of academia, science and research institutes towards the SUN Movement strategic objectives in 2018-2019

### **Contributions to Process 1**

*Bringing people together in the same space for action*

### **Contributions to Process 2**

*Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework*

### **Contributions to Process 3**

*Aligning actions around common results*

### **Contributions to Process 4**

*Financial tracking and resource mobilisation*



Status of past priorities		
#	Status of past priorities	Yes/ No/ In progress
1.	N/A	Yes
2.	N/A	Yes
3.	N/A	In progress
4.	N/A	No
5.	N/A	N/A
6.	N/A	No

## Support given to meet priorities

1.	<p>How did stakeholders (the Government, the UN, civil society organisations, donors, private sector, etc.) contribute to meeting these priorities? Please explain:</p> <p>through holding joint work plan and review meetings</p>
2.	<p>Did you receive internal technical assistance (through in-country technical providers) to meet these priorities?</p> <p>Yes</p>
3.	<p>If yes, who supported you and how did they support you? Please explain:</p> <p>UNICEF has provided a full time individual consultant to support UNAP coordination. All implementing partners continue to provide day to day TA in planning, and implementation at all levels</p>
4.	<p>Did you receive external technical assistance (as requested through the SUN global support system) to meet these priorities?</p> <p>Yes</p>
5.	<p>If yes, who supported you and how did they support you? Please explain:</p> <p>NI to support UNAPII finalisation and validation</p>

## 2019-2020 priorities

Please list the key priorities of the multi-stakeholder platform for 2019-2020 (max 6)

#	Priority
1.	Conduct a stakeholders mapping and capacity assessment for UNAP II stakeholders & design a capacity development plan
2.	Develop a training packages for agricultural extension
3.	Finalize and Launch the UNAP II
4.	Development of an Investment case for Nutrition in Uganda
5.	Support Local governments to develop Nutrition Action Plans aligned to UNAP II
6.	Establish the SUN Business network

## Support needed to meet 2019-2020 priorities

1.	Are you planning to seek technical assistance (internal or external) to meet these priorities?
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
2.	If yes, whose support you will be seeking and for what? Please explain:
	UNICEF; USAID, WFP, DFID, EU

## Priorities summary

Please describe overall achievements, positive developments, key challenges and suggestions for improvement towards meeting your priorities the past year (April 2018 - April 2019) and looking ahead.

Generally most of the set priorities were achieved while other are in progress

## Emergency preparedness and response planning

1.	<p>Within the reporting period (April 2018 - April 2019), has the country faced or responded to a crisis requiring humanitarian assistance?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
2.	<p>If yes, what was the type of emergency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
3.	<p>And what was the duration of this emergency? Please explain:</p>
4.	<p>Does the country have a national plan on emergency preparedness and response?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
5.	<p>If yes, does the national plan on emergency preparedness and response include nutrition actions and indicators (both nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive)?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>

## Emergency preparedness and response planning

6.	<p>Is the continuum of good nutrition (from lifesaving emergency action to long-term development planning) considered in discussions within the multi-stakeholder platform?</p> <p>Yes</p>
7.	<p>Does the multi-stakeholder platform include relevant stakeholders, including humanitarian, resilience, or disaster risk reduction actors?</p> <p>Yes</p>
8.	<p>If yes, which stakeholders are included? Please explain:</p> <p>UNHCR</p>
9.	<p>Does the SUN Focal Point, or another representative from the multi-stakeholder platform, participate in existing national humanitarian coordination systems?</p> <p>Yes</p>
10.	<p>More information: Please add any additional information that is important to the work of the multi-stakeholder platform in times of crisis.</p>

## Gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls

1.	<p>Is gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls seen as a priority in the work of the multi-stakeholder platform?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
2.	<p>Has gender parity (no less than 40 per cent of either women or men) in the composition of the multi-stakeholder platform been achieved?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
3.	<p>Is a governmental Ministry or Department responsible for women's affairs/gender equality in the country a member of the multi-stakeholder platform?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
4.	<p>If yes, what is the name of this Ministry or Department? Please explain:</p>
5.	<p>If not a part of the multi-stakeholder platform, how do you engage with this Ministry or Department? Please explain:</p>
6.	<p>Does the multi-stakeholder platform collaborate with other non-state actors that are working towards gender equality and the empowerment of women?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
7.	<p>If yes, with whom do you engage?</p>

## Gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls

8.	Does the multi-stakeholder platform have an overview of the nutritional status of women, men, adolescent girls and adolescent boys in the country, to better address needs?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
9.	Has analysis or a stocktake of existing nutrition policies, legislation and regulations from a gender perspective taken place?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
10.	Does the multi-sectoral and multi-stakeholder nutrition plan or strategy address gender equality, through actions and indicators?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
11.	Does your country have a national gender equality policy or strategy in place?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
12.	If yes, does this policy or strategy address nutrition, through actions and indicators?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
13.	What actions, such as advocacy, have been implemented by the multi-stakeholder platform in 2018-2019 to ensure gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls? Please explain:
	Strategies and priority actions to address gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls as part of the Draft UNAPII and the Policy



## Nutrition-sensitive and sustainable food systems

1.	<p>In addition to the multi-stakeholder platform for nutrition, does another multi-stakeholder platform or mechanism on food security and food systems exist in the country?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
2.	<p>If yes, what is this platform or mechanism called and who is a part of this platform? Please explain:</p>
3.	<p>If yes, does the multi-stakeholder platform for nutrition work in close collaboration with this food security or food system mechanism?</p>
4.	<p>Do key food system stakeholders (including the private sector) participate in the multi-stakeholder platform for nutrition?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
5.	<p>If yes, which stakeholders participate? Please explain:</p>
6.	<p>If not, which stakeholders should be more involved? Please explain:</p>
7.	<p>Are efforts ongoing to put in place policies or legislation to enhance the contribution of food systems towards healthy diets and good nutrition?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>

Nutrition-sensitive and sustainable food systems	
8.	If yes, what types of policies or legislation?
9.	Has your country put in place large-scale programmes or investments aiming to improve the productivity and sustainability of food systems?
	Yes
10.	If yes, which ones? Please explain
11.	And are these programmes designed to contribute to healthier diets and good nutrition?
	Yes
12.	Are these programmes aligned with or integrated into the Common Results Framework?
	Yes

## Advocacy and communication

1.	Does the multi-stakeholder platform have a communication and/or advocacy strategy or plan in place?  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
2.	If yes, does this strategy aim to:  
3.	Do you work with the media to amplify key messages, raise awareness and demand action on nutrition?  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.	If yes, how does this work take form and with whom do you work?  
5.	Do parliamentarians work with the multi-stakeholder platform?  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
6.	Has a parliamentary network for nutrition been established? Yes/No  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
7.	Has the multi-stakeholder platform nominated nutrition champions?  <input type="checkbox"/> No
8.	If yes, please list their names and titles:  
9.	Have you produced any communication materials or products related to the SUN approach and/or country-level SUN achievements?  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes

## Advocacy and communication

10.	Do you use any SUN Movement communications materials to support in-country advocacy?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
11.	If yes, which products do you normally use:
12.	If no, this is because of:
13.	Have high-level nutrition events been organised during the reporting period (April 2018 -April 2019)?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
14.	If yes, please explain:
	World breastfeeding week
15.	Are any high-level events planned for the period ahead (April 2019 - April 2020)?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
16.	If yes, please explain:
17.	Have you ever received advocacy and communication-related technical assistance?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
18.	If yes, please explain:
19.	Would you like to scale up your communication and advocacy, but feel that you do not have the capacity, resources or knowledge?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes